



## Legislation Text

---

**File #:** 21-0060, **Version:** 1

---

**TO:**

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

**THROUGH:**

Bruce Moe, City Manager

**FROM:**

Liza Tamura, City Clerk

Martha Alvarez, Sr. Deputy City Clerk

Patricia Matson, Deputy City Clerk

**SUBJECT:**

Confirmation that the Mayor Shall be the Delegate and the Mayor Pro Tem Shall be the Alternate for the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee (City Clerk Tamura).

**APPROVE**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council confirm that the Mayor shall be the Delegate and the Mayor Pro Tem shall be the Alternate for the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no fiscal implications associated with the recommended action.

**BACKGROUND:**

City Council Assignments are the responsibility of each Mayor to review the standing list of assignments to various government and community-related committees. Changes to the assignments can be made at the discretion of the Mayor.

**DISCUSSION:**

Staff has prepared an updated version of the City Council assignments. Due to an oversight, the Delegate and Alternate fields were blank under the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee assignments. Per the Committee, the Mayor of each City is considered the voting member. If the Mayor is unavailable, then the Mayor Pro Tem would attend the committee meeting. This update adds the Mayor as the Delegate and Mayor Pro Tem as the Alternate within the City Council assignments. The update to the assignments has been attached for City Council consideration.

**CONCLUSION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council confirm that the Mayor shall be the Delegate and the Mayor Pro Tem shall be the Alternate for the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee. Upon confirmation, staff will update the City Council Assignments and will notify the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee.

**PUBLIC OUTREACH:**

After analysis, staff determined that public outreach was not required for this issue.

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:**

The City has reviewed the proposed activity for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and has determined that the activity is not a "Project" as defined under Section 15378 of the State CEQA Guidelines; therefore, pursuant to Section 15060(c)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines the activity is not subject to CEQA. Thus, no environmental review is necessary.

**LEGAL REVIEW:**

The City Attorney has reviewed this report and determined that no additional legal analysis is necessary.

**ATTACHMENT:**

1. Proposed Update to the City Council Assignments (Redline Version)