



Legislation Text

File #: 20-0076, **Version:** 1

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

THROUGH:

Bruce Moe, City Manager

FROM:

Carrie Tai, AICP, Community Development Director
Erik Zandvliet, T.E., City Traffic Engineer

SUBJECT:

Consider Second Reading and Adoption of an Ordinance to Maintain a Prohibition of the Use or Operation of Shared Mobility Devices in Any Public Right-of-Way or on Public Property, or Offered for Use Anywhere in the City; and Making a Finding of Exemption Under the California Environmental Quality Act (Community Development Director Tai).

ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 20-0008

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Ordinance No. 20-0008 to maintain a prohibition of the use or operation of shared mobility devices in any public right-of-way or on public property, or offered for use anywhere in the city.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

There are no fiscal implications associated with the recommended action.

BACKGROUND:

On February 4, 2020, at a publicly-noticed meeting, the City Council voted unanimously to adopt Urgency Ordinance No. 20-0008U and introduce Ordinance No. 20-0008 to maintain a prohibition of the use or operation of shared mobility devices in any public right-of-way or on public property, or offered for use anywhere in the city.

DISCUSSION:

The City Council first adopted Urgency Ordinance No. 18-0018U on August 21, 2018, to prevent the negative impacts arising from unregulated placement of shared mobility devices (including electric scooters) experienced in El Segundo and other cities during the summer of 2018. The City Council has adopted subsequent ordinances since 2018 to maintain the prohibition.

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Ordinance No. 20-0008 to add Chapter 14.70 to the Municipal Code to prohibit the use of shared mobility devices in the City in the Municipal Code.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:

The City has reviewed the proposed activity for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and has determined that the activity is not a "Project" as defined under Section 15378 of the State CEQA Guidelines; therefore, pursuant to Section 15060(c)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines the activity is not subject to CEQA. This Ordinance is additionally exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15301(c), as it involves no expansion of the use of existing facilities, a category that includes streets and sidewalks. Thus, no environmental review is necessary.

LEGAL REVIEW:

The City Attorney has approved the proposed ordinance as to form.

ATTACHMENT:

1. Ordinance No. 20-0008