

City of Manhattan Beach

1400 Highland Avenue Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Legislation Text

File #: CIC-2, Version: 1

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

THROUGH:

Bruce Moe, City Manager/Chief Administrative Officer

FROM:

Steve S. Charelian, Finance Director/Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT:

Reorganization of the Manhattan Beach Capital Improvements Corporation (Finance Director/CFO Charelian).

APPROVE

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors of the Manhattan Beach Capital Improvements Corporation approve the removal of City Councilmember/Boardmember Amy Howorth and City Councilmember/Boardmember David Lesser and approve the election of newly elected City Councilmember/Boardmember Suzanne Hadley and City Councilmember/Boardmember Hildy Stern.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

There are no fiscal implications associated with the recommended action.

BACKGROUND:

The Manhattan Beach Capital Improvements Corporation (CIC) was formed in 1996 to facilitate issuance of debt for improvements to the water and wastewater system. It has been used since then as the conduit for a number of debt issues including the Police/Fire Facility, Metlox improvements and Marine Avenue Sports Fields.

DISCUSSION:

The bylaws of the Corporation state that the President and Vice President of the Corporation must be elected from the members of the City Council. Typically, the current Mayor serves as the President, with the Mayor Pro Tem serving as the Vice President. The other three City Councilmembers serve as Boardmembers. Appointed positions include the City Manager serving as the CIC's Chief Administrative Officer, the Finance Director serving as the CIC's Chief Financial Officer, and the City Clerk serving as the CIC's Clerk of the Board.

PUBLIC OUTREACH/INTEREST:

After analysis, staff determined that public outreach was not required for this issue.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

File #: CIC-2, Version: 1

The City has reviewed the proposed activity for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and has determined that the activity is not a "Project" as defined under Section 15378 of the State CEQA Guidelines; therefore, pursuant to Section 15060(c)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines the activity is not subject to CEQA. Thus, no environmental review is necessary.

LEGAL REVIEW

The City Attorney has reviewed this report and determined that no additional legal analysis is necessary.