



Legislation Text

File #: 19-0105, **Version:** 1

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

THROUGH:

Bruce Moe, City Manager

FROM:

Liza Tamura, City Clerk

Martha Alvarez, Senior Deputy City Clerk

Alexandria Latragna, Management Analyst

SUBJECT:

City Council Reorganization:

Recognition of Outgoing City Councilmembers Lesser and Howorth and Introduction of Newly Elected City Councilmembers Hadley and Stern (City Clerk Tamura).

a) **PRESENT**

b) **INTRODUCE**

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council recognize outgoing City Councilmembers David Lesser and Amy Howorth for their commitment and dedication to the City of Manhattan Beach; and recognize newly elected Councilmembers Suzanne Hadley and Hildy Stern.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

There are no fiscal implications associated with the recommended action.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

Following the certification of the election results, § 10265 of the California Elections Code requires that the City Clerk, serving as the elections official, immediately sign and deliver to each newly elected officer a Certificate of Election. The elections official is also required to administer to each person elected the oath of office prescribed in the California Constitution.

The certification of the election results is on the April 2, 2019, City Council agenda for certification and ratification.

The following candidates were elected to the two City Councilmember seats:

1. Suzanne Hadley: 3,111 votes received.
2. Hildy Stern: 2,362 votes received.

City Council Seating Order

Based on the past precedence, the newly elected members would be seated in order based on the number of votes they received. Councilmember Hadley received the highest number of votes, followed by Councilmember Stern. This order will determine who becomes Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem in upcoming City Council reorganizations.

PUBLIC OUTREACH:

After analysis, staff determined that public outreach was not required for this issue.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:

The City has reviewed the proposed activity for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and has determined that the activity is not a "Project" as defined under § 15378 of the State CEQA Guidelines; therefore, pursuant to § 15060(c)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines the activity is not subject to CEQA. Thus, no environmental review is necessary.

LEGAL REVIEW:

The City Attorney has reviewed this report and determined that no additional legal analysis is necessary.