12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

RESOLUTION NO. PC 20-10

RESOLUTION OF THE MANHATTAN BEACH PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVING A MASTER USE PERMIT FOR A NEW 162-ROOM, 81,775 SQUARE-FOOT HOTEL WITH FULL ALCOHOL SERVICE FOR HOTEL PATRONS AND A NEW 16,348 SQUARE-FOOT RETAIL AND OFFICE BUILDING; AND REDUCED PARKING WITH 152 PARKING SPACES AT 600 S. SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD (MB HOTEL PARTNERS,

THE MANHATTAN BEACH PLANNING COMMISSION DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

MB Hotel Partners, LLC ("Applicant") has applied for a Master Use Permit to construct a 162-room, 81,775 square-foot hotel with full alcohol service for hotel patrons and a 16,348 square-foot retail and office building with reduced parking (the "Project"), located at 600 S. Sepulveda Boulevard (the "site"). The property is owned by 600 Sepulveda LLC (the "Owner").

On October 14, 2020, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the project, during which the Planning Commission received a presentation by staff and testimony from the Applicant and members of the public both supporting and opposing the project. The Planning Commission also received and reviewed written testimony received by the City prior to the public hearing. The Commission requested that the applicant to modify the plans and provide additional information in order to address the Commission's and neighbor's concerns.

SECTION 3. The Planning Commission continued the duly noticed public hearing to the November 18, 2020, Planning Commission meeting to consider the modified Project and revised resolution. The Commission provided an opportunity for the public to provide evidence and testimony at the public hearing.

The Project is Categorically Exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15332 (Infill Development Projects) exemption, as it meets the definition of infill development; would be consistent with the applicable General Plan designation and all applicable General Plan policies as well as with the applicable zoning designation and regulations; occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses; would be located on a site that has no habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species; would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and could be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. Further, none of the exceptions to the use of a categorical exemption apply to the Project. The project will neither individually nor cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in California Fish and Game Code Section 711.2.

SECTION 5. The record of the public hearing indicates:

- The legal description of the site is: Portions of Lots 6 and 7, Block 2, Amended Map of Seaside Park, in the City of Manhattan Beach, County of Los Angeles. The site is located in Area District I and is zoned CG-D8, General Commercial- Sepulveda Boulevard Corridor Overlay. The surrounding properties are zoned CG-D8 to the North, CG General Commercial to the South (across Tennyson Street), RS Single-Family Residential to the East (across Chabela Drive), and commercial to the West (across Sepulveda Boulevard in the City of Hermosa Beach).
- The uses are permitted in the CG-D8 zone subject to a Master Use Permit and are in compliance with the City's General Plan designation of General Commercial. The General Plan designation for the property is General Commercial. The General Plan encourages businesses in the General Commercial land use category that are "intended to meet the needs of local residents and businesses and to provide goods and services for the regional market." The Municipal Code's stated purpose of the D8- Sepulveda Boulevard Corridor Overlay is to provide "more flexible development standards are needed in order to continue to promote desirable development, uses and economic vitality within the General Commercial (CG)

- C. The proposed uses are located at a site on the Sepulveda commercial corridor. Any potential impacts associated with the proposed uses are minimized by the physical distance between the use's location and most residents in nearby blocks, with Chabela Drive, Sepulveda Boulevard, other large commercial structures, walls, and landscaping providing physical separation between the project site and many neighboring structures.
- D. The site is the former home of an El Torito Restaurant which operated with a full alcohol license.
- E. The applicant is requesting to construct a 162-room, 81,775 square-foot hotel and a 16,348 square-foot retail and office building with reduced parking with 152 parking spaces. The hotel includes limited dining options with accompanying full alcohol service that will be limited to hotel patrons only.
- F. The project is specifically consistent with General Plan Policies as follows:
 - LU-6: Maintain the viability of the commercial areas of Manhattan Beach.
 - LU-8: Maintain Sepulveda Boulevard as a regional-serving commercial district.

The project helps further the General Plan Policies identified above by providing more overnight accommodation options to people visiting Manhattan Beach and the greater Southern California region. The hotel's guests are likely to support other local businesses during their stay. The project's other new commercial spaces provide opportunities for local and regional businesses looking to locate in new, contemporary buildings.

SECTION 6. Based upon substantial evidence in the record, and pursuant to Manhattan Beach Municipal Code Sections 10.64.050 (B) and 10.84.060, the Planning Commission hereby finds:

1. The parking demand will be less than the requirement in Schedule A or B.

The applicant has provided a parking demand study, prepared by Kimley-Horn and reviewed by the City's Traffic Engineer, that demonstrates that the parking demand generated by the proposed uses is less than the required parking required under M.B.M.C 10.64.030. Furthermore, the number of parking spaces provided on site significantly exceeds the project's parking demand as projected in Kimley-Horn's analysis.

2. The probable long-term occupancy of the building or structure, based on its design, will not generate additional parking demand.

The conversion of the hotel building to an alternative use with a higher parking demand would be difficult considering the numerous hotel rooms on each floor that would need to be demolished in order to reconfigure each floor space. Furthermore, the conditions of approval require that a new parking demand study be conducted if new uses proposed at the site differ from the uses approved by the Master Use Permit.

3. The proposed location of the uses are in accord with the objectives of this title and the purposes of the district in which the site is located.

The project is located in the "CG-D8" (General Commercial, Sepulveda Boulevard Corridor Overlay) design overlay district in Area District I. The Municipal Code stated purpose for the CG General Commercial zone is that the district provides "opportunities for the full range of retail and service businesses deemed suitable for location in Manhattan Beach, including businesses not permitted in other commercial districts because they attract heavy vehicular traffic or have certain adverse impacts; and to provide opportunities for offices and certain limited industrial uses that have impacts comparable to those of permitted retail and service uses to occupy space not in demand for retailing or services." Furthermore, the Municipal Code's stated purpose of the D8-Sepulveda Boulevard Corridor Overlay is to provide "more flexible development standards are needed in order to continue to promote desirable development, uses and economic vitality within

31

32

the General Commercial (CG) zone. The project takes advantage of the 40-foot height limit allowed for hotel uses in the D8- Sepulveda Boulevard Corridor Overlay zone.

The proposed location of the project (Sepulveda Boulevard) is in accord with the objectives of the Zoning Code and the purposes of the district in which the site is located because Sepulveda Boulevard is a main commercial thoroughfare and is within a commercial district where the uses will complement a full range of retail and service businesses suitable for Manhattan Beach.

4. The proposed location of the uses and the proposed conditions under which the uses would be operated or maintained will be consistent with the General Plan; will not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare of persons residing or working on the proposed project site or in or adjacent to the neighborhood of such uses; and will not be detrimental to properties or improvements in the vicinity or to the general welfare of the city.

The proposed uses are commercial uses consistent with the General Plan's General Commercial land use designation assigned of the project site and lot, as well as neighboring properties. The proposed uses are consistent with neighboring uses, as the neighboring lots have also been developed with commercial uses. The proposed location of the uses and the proposed conditions under which they would be operated and maintained will not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare of persons residing or working on the Project site or in the surrounding area because Municipal Code requirements and conditions of approval address security, safety, aesthetics, hours of operation and parking. The Project will not be detrimental to properties or improvements in the vicinity or to the general welfare of the city, in that the area already supports commercial uses, and parking supplies are adequate. Further, Municipal Code requirements and conditions of approval address security, safety, aesthetics, and hours of operation. Specific conditions are designed to address concerns expressed at the public hearing, including conditions requiring architectural screening on the fourth floor of the hotel building's eastern façade. The General Plan recognizes that Sepulveda Boulevard corridor is a "regionalserving commercial district", and the proposed uses can be part of the commercial mix of businesses that help create a dynamic commercial corridor along Sepulveda Boulevard.

5. The proposed uses will comply with the provisions of the City's Planning and Zoning Title, including any specific condition required for the proposed uses in the district in which they would be located.

The proposed uses comply with all provisions of Municipal Code Title 10 Planning and Zoning and any specific conditions imposed.

6. The uses will not adversely impact or be adversely impacted by nearby properties.

The proposed uses are located at a site on the Sepulveda commercial corridor. Most of the surrounding properties are also zoned General Commercial and have uses that serve both residents and the greater South Bay. Any potential impacts associated with the proposed uses are minimized by the conditions of approval, as well as the physical distance between the use's location and most residents in nearby blocks, with Chabela Drive, Sepulveda Boulevard, other large commercial structures, fences, and landscaping providing physical separation between the project site and many neighboring structures. The project as conditioned requires hotel and security operation procedures that ensure minimal impacts to nearby properties. The uses will not create demands exceeding the capacity of public services and facilities.

<u>SECTION 7.</u> Based upon the foregoing, the Planning Commission hereby **APPROVES** the Master Use Permit to allow the construction of new commercial buildings and a reduction in parking subject to the following conditions:

1. The project shall be in substantial conformance with the plans and project description submitted to, and approved by the Planning Commission on November 18, 2020 as conditioned. Any substantial deviation from the approved plans and project description, as conditioned, shall

32

Page 7 of 9

52.

8

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

Indemnity, Duty to Defend and Obligation to Pay Judgments and Defense Costs, Including Attorneys' Fees, Incurred by the City. The Applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its elected officials, officers, employees, volunteers, agents, and those City agents serving as independent contractors in the role of City officials (collectively "Indemnitees") from and against any claims, damages, actions, causes of actions, lawsuits, suits, proceedings, losses, judgments, costs, and expenses (including, without limitation, attorneys' fees or court costs) in any manner arising out of or incident to this approval, related entitlements, or the City's environmental review thereof. The Applicant shall pay and satisfy any judgment, award or decree that may be rendered against City or the other Indemnitees in any such suit, action, or other legal proceeding. The City shall promptly notify the Applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding and the City shall reasonably cooperate in the defense. If the City fails to promptly notify the Applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding, or if the City fails to reasonably cooperate in the defense, the Applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the City or the Indemnitees. The City shall have the right to select counsel of its choice. The Applicant shall reimburse the City, and the other Indemnitees, for any and all legal expenses and costs incurred by each of them in connection therewith or in enforcing the indemnity herein provided. Nothing in this Section shall be construed to require the Applicant to indemnify Indemnitees for any Claim arising from the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the Indemnitees. In the event such a legal action is filed challenging the City's determinations herein or the issuance of the approval, the City shall estimate its expenses for the litigation. The Applicant shall deposit said amount with the City or enter into an agreement with the City to pay such expenses as they become due.

SECTION 8. The Planning Commission's decision is based upon each of the totally independent and separate grounds stated herein, each of which stands alone as a sufficient basis for its decision.

SECTION 9. This Resolution shall become effective when all time limits for appeal as set forth in MBMC Chapter 10.100 have expired.

SECTION 10. The Secretary of the Planning Commission shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution and shall forward a copy of this Resolution to the Applicant. The Secretary shall make this resolution readily available for public inspection.

SECTION 11. This Master Use Permit shall lapse two years after its date of approval, unless implemented or extended pursuant to 10.84.090 of the Municipal Code.

November 18, 2020

Planning Commission Chair

I hereby certify that the following is a full, true, and correct copy of the Resolution as **ADOPTED** by the Planning Commission at its regular meeting on **November 18**, **2020** and that said Resolution was adopted by the following vote:

AYES:

Ungoco, Chair Morton

NOES:

Thompson

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Fournier

None

Page 8 of 9

Carrie Tai, AICP,
Secretary to the Planning Commission

actor Rosemary Lackow, Recording Secretary