# CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 

TO: Parking and Public Improvements Commission
FROM: Erik Zandvliet, T.E., City Traffic Engineer
DATE: July 25, 2019
SUBJECT: Consider an Adult Crossing Guard at Blanche Road and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Commission pass a motion to recommend that the City Council authorize the relocation of an existing crossing guard from Valley Drive at Francisco Street to Blanche Road at $27^{\text {th }}$ Street and to paint a new school crosswalk on the west leg of $27^{\text {th }}$ Street at Blanche Road.

## BACKGROUND:

The City currently provides 20 adult crossing guards at 21 locations throughout the City. Each crossing guard costs around $\$ 15,000$ per year.

In May, 2019, the City received a request from Grandview Principal and PTA for an adult crossing guard at the intersection of Blanche Road and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street adjacent to Grandview Elementary School. The school staff explain that $27^{\text {th }}$ Street is a suggested route to school and parents are concerned about crossing Blanche Road. They note that the school campus will be modernized with new buildings fronting Bell Avenue in the next few years, which will increase pedestrian traffic east of the school grounds. (Exhibit 1)

## DISCUSSION:

The intersection of Blanche Road and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street is located in a residential area east of Grandview School. The school has frontages on both Bell Avenue and $24^{\text {th }}$ Street. Blanche Road is classified as a two-lane Major Local Street, and 27th Street is a two-lane Local roadway. Both streets have a speed limit of 25 MPH . There are no curbs, gutters sidewalks on either street, except for aa sidewalk on the west side of Blanche Road. The intersection of Blanche Road and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street is stopped in all directions. There are marked crosswalks on the north leg of the intersection. Street parking is allowed on both streets and pedestrians must walk in the street. (See Exhibit 2-Location Map and Aerial Photo)

The traffic collision history between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2017 was analyzed for this intersection. According to City records, there have been no reported pedestrian collisions at the intersection of Blanche Road and 27th Street during this ten (10) year period.

## Pedestrian Counts

Pedestrian volume counts were taken in May 2019 on normal school days with good weather. Traffic volumes were collected from the City's latest records. A summary and pedestrian and traffic counts are provided below:

Intersection Pedestrian and Traffic Volume Counts

| PEDESTRIANS/VEHICLES | Blanche Road at <br> 27th Street |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | Students | Other <br> Peds | Total |
| AM Pedestrian Volume (Arrival) | 54 | 35 | 89 |
| PM Pedestrian Volume (Dismissal) | 40 | 37 | 77 |
| TWO HOUR STUDENT TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ |
| AM Traffic Volume (All Directions) |  |  | 499 |
| PM Traffic Volume (All Directions) |  |  | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ |
| TWO HOUR TRAFFIC TOTAL |  |  | $\mathbf{7 7 5}$ |
| AM Cross-Product (Students $\times$ Volume) |  |  | $\mathbf{2 6 , 9 4 6}$ |
| PM Cross-Product (Students $\mathbf{x}$ Volume) |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 4 0}$ |
| Cross-Product (Students $\times$ Volume) |  |  | $\mathbf{3 7 , 9 8 6}$ |

The cross-product is an indication of the relative exposure of pedestrians to vehicle traffic, and is comprised of the student pedestrian volume multiplied by the conflicting traffic volume for the AM and PM peak hours. A comparison of this location to other intersections with crossing guards is attached as Exhibit 3.

It should be noted that the north leg of Blanche Road at 27th Street is not identified as a suggested crossing on Grandview Elementary School Suggested Routes map. (Exhibit 4) However, City and school staff have recognized that parents prefer to walk along $27^{\text {th }}$ Street instead of along Blanche Road between $24^{\text {th }}$ Street and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street. In addition, the City recently installed flashing beacons at the intersection of Blanche Road and $29^{\text {th }}$ Street, one block to the north, as part of a Federal Safe Routes to School Grant. As a result, few school pedestrians walk along Blanche Road between $24^{\text {th }}$ Street and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street, and prefer to use Bell Avenue as a north-south route.

## Field Observations

Staff conducted field observations at Blanche Road and 27th Street on typical school days. These observations confirm the traffic count data and pedestrian patterns. A large number of students use the crosswalks in the east-west direction, and typically walk along $27^{\text {th }}$ Street partly in the street due to parked cars and low traffic volumes. Midblock pedestrian crossings on Blanche Road were not observed. It was apparent that the majority of pedestrians were walking to or from their homes east of Blanche Road, rather than to vehicles parked along the road.

It was observed that motorists tend to travel slower at peak school times, due to the congestion and parked cars on the streets. This condition improves safety by lowering the potential and severity of pedestrian collisions. Minor driving infraction were noticed, including failure to fully
stop at stop signs, but drivers were generally courteous to pedestrians. The intersection has adequate sight distance for motorists stopped at the stop signs. Proper right-of-way rules are currently established by the existing all-way stop controls. However, the pedestrian crossing on the west leg of the intersection was not obvious to both motorists and pedestrians, and would benefit from the installation of a painted crosswalk on the west leg.

## Adult Crossing Guards

The State of California guidelines for the installation of adult crossing guards were reviewed at this intersection. These guidelines state that adult crossing guards are desirable at stopcontrolled crossings on a four-lane street where the traffic volume exceeds 500 vehicles during each of any two hours in which 40 school pedestrians cross in each of any two hours during the day. However, adult crossing guards may also be considered if special problems exist which make it necessary to assist elementary school pedestrians in crossing the street, such as at an unusually complicated intersection with frequent turning movements and high vehicular speeds. The specific guidelines are in the California Manual on Traffic Control Devices Section 7D.02, as described below:

Adult crossing guards may be used to provide gaps in traffic at school crossings where an engineering study has shown that adequate gaps need to be created (see Section 7A.03), and where authorized by law. Adult Crossing Guards may be assigned at designated school crossings to assist school pedestrians at specified hours when going to or from school. The following suggested policy for their assignment applies only to crossings.

Guidance:
An Adult Crossing Guard should be considered when:
A. Special situations make it necessary to assist elementary school pedestrians in crossing the street. B. A change in the school crossing location is being made, but prevailing conditions require school crossing supervision until the change is constructed and it is not reasonable to install another form of traffic control or technique for this period.

## Criteria for Adult Crossing Guards:

Support:
Adult Crossing Guards normally are assigned where official supervision of school pedestrians is desirable while they cross a public highway, and at least 40 school pedestrians for each of any two hours (not necessarily consecutive) daily use the crossing while going to or from school.

Option:
Adult crossing guards may be used under the following conditions:

1. At uncontrolled crossings where there is no alternate controlled crossing within 600 feet; and a. In urban areas where the vehicular traffic volume exceeds 350 during each of any two hours (not necessarily consecutive) in which 40 or more school pedestrians cross daily while going to or from school; or
b. In rural areas where the vehicular traffic volume exceeds 300 during each of any two hours (not necessarily consecutive) in which 30 or more school pedestrians cross daily while going to or from school.
Whenever the critical (85th percentile) approach speed exceeds 40 mph , the guidelines for rural areas should be applied.
2. At stop sign-controlled crossing:

Where the vehicular traffic volumes on undivided highways of four or more lanes exceeds 500 per hour during any period when the school pedestrians are going to or from school.
3. At traffic signal-controlled crossings:
a. Where the number of vehicular turning movements through the school crosswalk exceeds 300 per hour while school pedestrians are going to or from school; or b. Where justified through analysis of the operations of the intersection.

As shown on the attached Adult Crossing Guard Evaluation Form (Exhibit 5), the intersection meets the minimum pedestrian warrant, but does not meet the requirements for a minimum of 4 travel lanes or the minimum traffic volume warrant. While this intersection does not completely
meet all of the suggested State guidelines for adult school crossing guards, it should be noted that several existing crossing guards are assigned to locations that would otherwise not meet current warrants. The City can choose to provide crossing guards at locations that meet the general guidance advice on the basis of an engineering study or policy. There are 11 locations where crossing guards have been authorized without meeting State warrants. Alternately, the City can choose to relocate an existing crossing guard from another location that has a lower pedestrian-vehicle exposure than the proposed location. In such cases, the City has utilized a ranking method to identify the locations with the highest vehicle-pedestrian conflicts, and prioritized them when funds for additional guards are limited.

The Traffic Engineer believes that the current school pedestrian and traffic volumes can justify the assignment of an adult crossing guard at this location. However, the school already has four adult crossing guards assigned to nearby crossing locations. In reviewing the crossing guard comparison table, the adult crossing guard at the lowest ranking location at Valley Drive and Francisco Street serves far fewer school pedestrians, and this location was recently upgraded with flashing crossing beacons and in-pavement warning lights. It would be reasonable to relocate that crossing guard to the higher vehicle-pedestrian exposure location at Blanche Road and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street.

## CONCLUSION:

Due to the failure to meet the minimum number of travel lanes in the State crossing guard guidelines for stopped-controlled intersections, an adult school crossing guard is not fully warranted at the intersection of Blanche Road and 27th Street. However, based on current school pedestrian and traffic volumes as well as to reinforce continuity of the preferred school route along $27^{\text {th }}$ Street, staff recommends the reassignment of the existing crossing guard from Valley Drive and Francisco Street to the higher pedestrian-vehicle exposure location at Blanche Road and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street. Staff also recommends the installation of a new school crosswalk on the west leg of $27^{\text {th }}$ Street at Blanche Road.

Exhibits: 1. Grandview School Crossing Guard Request
2. Location Map and Aerial Photo
3. Crossing Guard Location Comparison Table
4. Suggested Routes to Grandview Elementary School
5. Adult School Crossing Guard Worksheets
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## EXHIBIT 1

Erik Zandvliet

| From: | Sharon Witzansky [switzansky@manhattan.k12.ca.us](mailto:switzansky@manhattan.k12.ca.us) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, January 15, 2019 1:56 PM |
| To: | Erik Zandvliet |
| Cc: | Nancy Doyle |
| Subject: | Grand View School Formally Requesting a Crossing Guard Study |

Dear Erik,
On behalf of parents and students, the administration at Grand View School would like to formally request a crossing guard study for the corner of Blanche Road and $27^{\text {th }}$ Street. This intersection is highly utilized by pedestrians on school mornings and afternoons as so many families use $27^{\text {th }}$ Street to get all the way to Bell Avenue.

Please let me know if you need anything beyond this written request to move forward.

Yours respectfully,
Sharon Witzansky

Sharon Witzansky
Assistant Principal
Grand View School 310-546-8022
Pacific School 310-546-8044

PACIFIC
PANTHERS

| From: | Richard Montgomery |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:22 PM |
| To: | Erik Zandvliet |
| Cc: | Anne McIntosh; Bruce Moe |
| Subject: | Fwd: Crossing Guard 27th and Blanche Avenue |

Hi Erik,

GV PTA believes you have already completed a study at this location in the past year?
Is that true?
Or was it 27th and Flournoy?
Richard
Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:
From: Sarah Leonard Sheahan < sarah@1spgr.com>
Date: June 4, 2019 at 2:15:47 PM PDT
To: Richard Montgomery [rmontgomery@citymb.info](mailto:rmontgomery@citymb.info), citycouncil@citymb.info
Subject: Crossing Guard 27th and Blanche Avenue

Dear Manhattan Beach City Council Members:

Safe routes to school are likely the single most important step we can take as a community to protect our children. We appreciate the City Council and Traffic Engineer Eric Zandvliet's continued attention and efforts on this issue for our school and the entire District.

The Grand View PTA has requested a crossing guard be added to the intersection of 27th Street and Blanche Avenue. This guard will significantly increase the safety of this - the most dangerous aside from Bell Avenue intersection along our Walking Route to school. Please see the map:
https://www.gvpta.com/apps/pages/index.jsp?uREC ID=862767\&type=d\&pREC ID=1225460

Blanche Avenue is an extremely busy street just a single block from our campus. Hundreds of students from preschool to $5^{\text {th }}$ grade need to cross it every day. Unfortunately, yet understandably, parents deem Blanche Avenue as too dangerous for students to cross on their own. So they drive them. As a result, walking to school is even MORE dangerous. In addition, we have extreme traffic congestion around our campus and throughout our neighborhoods, twice a day. This is not only harmful to our neighborhood relationships, it also eliminates a perfect opportunity for students to independently make their way to school, form healthy habits, get some exercise and fresh air.

## EXHIBIT 1

In the next couple of years, construction will begin on our new campus buildings. As a result, the corner of 27th and Blanche Avenue will see an increase in car and foot traffic. Our school is hoping to increase the number of students to travel on foot or wheels to alleviate the traffic congestion. A crossing guard will help us a great deal.

There is a stop sign at $27^{\text {th }}$ Street and Blanche Avenue, along our Walking Route. However, too many cars roll through the intersection rather than stop. The single stop sign is unfortunately not enough to ensure the safety of our students crossing Blanche Avenue.

Therefore, we request a crossing guard be added to the intersection so that more students can walk or wheel to school.

Sincerely,

Grand View PTA Executive Board

Grand View PTA Safety Committee

Grand View Vice Principal Sharon Witzansky
Grand View Principal Nancy Doyle

## Richard Montgomery

Mayor Pro Tem
P: (424) 390-3629
E: rmontgomery@citymb.info


Please consider the environment before printing this email.
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## Aerial Photo and Location Map
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## EXHIBIT 3

| CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACHCROSSING GUARD LOCATION COMPARISON TABLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANK | SCHOOL | LOCATION | PED COUNT DATE | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { PEDS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { PEDS } \end{gathered}$ | CROSSING VOLUME (VEH/HR) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85 \mathrm{TH} \\ & \text { SPEED } \\ & \text { (MPH) } \end{aligned}$ | TRAFFIC CONTROLS | OTHER <br> FACTORS | MEETS PED VOLUME WARRANTS | VEH x PED PRODUCT | EX. XING GUARD |
| 1 | Manhattan Beach MS | Manhattan Beach Blvd at Redondo Ave | Oct-10 | 122 | 164 | 714 | 32 | Traffic Signal |  | YES | 204,204 | XX |
| 2 | Pennekamp ES | Peck Ave at $2^{\text {nd }}$ St | Oct-10 | 102 | 98 | 707 | 31 | Stop Control |  | YES | 141,400 | X |
| 3 | Manhattan Beach MS | Redondo Ave at $15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | Oct-10 | 122 | 164 | 388 | 32 | Stop Control |  | YES | 110,968 | X |
| 4 | Grand View ES | Highland Ave at $26{ }^{\text {th }}$ St | May-10 | 38 | 24 | 1,200 | 28 | Uncontrolled |  | 60\% | 74,400 | X |
| 5 | Pennekamp ES | Peck Ave. at 1st St. | Nov-16 | 92 | 103 | 366 | 29 | Uncontrolled |  | YES | 71,370 | X |
| 6 | Pacific ES | Pacific Ave. at 17th St. | Mar-17 | 71 | 98 | 501/463 | 32 | Stop Control |  | YES | 60,163 | X |
| 7 | Robinson ES | Ardmore Ave at $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{St}$ | Oct-10 | 38 | 17 | 1,024 | 36 | Uncontrolled | Skewed I/S | 43\% | 56,320 | X |
| 8 | Grand View ES | Blanche Rd at $24^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} / 25^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | Oct-10 | 17 | 37 | 1,009 | 25 | Stop Control |  | 43\% | 54,486 | X |
| 9 | American Martyrs ES | Laurel Ave at $18^{\text {th }}$ St (am) <br> Laurel Ave at $15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}(\mathrm{pm})$ | Oct-10 | 79 | 90 | 275 | 34 | Stop Control |  | YES | 46,475 | X |
| 10 | Pacific ES | Pacific Ave at 14th St | Oct-10 | 57 | 58 | 348 | 39 | Stop Control |  | YES | 40,020 | X |
| 11 | Grand View ES | $24^{\text {th }}$ St at Manor Dr | Oct-10 | 95 | 87 | 196 | 25 | Stop Control |  | YES | 35,672 | X |
| 12 | Meadows ES Manhattan Bch MS | Manhattan Beach Blvd at Peck Ave | Oct-10 | 35 | 53 | 359 | 40 | Traffic Signal |  | 88\% | 31,592 | X |
| 13 | Pacific ES | Pacific Ave at Ardmore Ave/Valley | Oct-10 | 17 | 10 | 889 | 37 | Stop Control |  | 25\% | 24,003 | X |
| 14 | Pacific ES | Manhattan Beach Blvd at Pacific Ave. | Oct-10 | 19 | 29 | 456 | 39 | Traffic Signal |  | 48\% | 21,888 | X |
| 15 | Pacific ES American Martyrs | Poinsettia Ave at $17^{\text {th }}$ St | Oct-10 | 42 | 47 | 228 | 32 | Stop Control |  | YES | 20,292 | X |
| 16 | Grand View ES | Bell Ave at $27^{\text {th }}$ St | Oct-10 | 53 | 50 | 197 | 25 | Stop Control |  | YES | 20,291 | X |
| 17 | Meadows ES | Manhattan Beach Blvd at Meadows Ave | Oct-10 | 30 | 16 | 424 | 40 | Traffic Signal |  | 40\% | 19,504 | X |
| 18 | Meadows ES | Meadows Ave at $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | Oct-10 | 61 | 38 | 187 | 30 | Stop Control |  | 95\% | 18,513 | X |
| 19 | Robinson ES | Valley Dr at $1^{\text {st }}$ St | Jan-10 | 13 | 11 | 702 | 35 | Stop Control | Skewed I/S | 28\% | 16,848 | See \#7 |
| 20 | Pennekamp ES | Rowell Ave. at Gates St. | Mar-17 | 46 | 61 | 120/105 | 26 | Stop Control |  | YES | 11,925 | X |
|  | Meadows ES | Rowell Ave. at 15th St. | Jan-18 | 33 | 35 | 150 | 33 | Stop Control | Temp Guard | 83\% | 10,200 |  |
| 21 | Robinson ES | Valley Dr at Francisco St | Oct-10 | 16 | 6 | 450 | 35 | Uncontrolled |  | 15\% | 9,900 | X |
|  | Grand View ES | Blanche Rd at 27th St | May-19 | 54 | 40 | 388 | 31 | Stop Control |  | 100\% | 36,472 |  |
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## EXHIBIT 5 <br> ADULT CROSSING GUARD LOCATION <br> EVALUATION FORM

Street/Intersection: Blanche Road $/ 27^{\text {th }}$ Street
Evaluation Date: 7/12/2019

Crosswalk Location: North Leg
Evaluated By:
EHZ

| Criteria Guideline | Minimum | Actual | Meets Criteria? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uncontrolled Crossing: |  |  | YES | NO |
| Nearest Alternate Crossing | > 600' |  |  |  |
| Crossing Traffic Volume | > 350/hr Urban <br> (> 300/hr Rural*) | AM |  |  |
|  |  | PM |  |  |
| School Pedestrian Volume | $\begin{gathered} 40+\text { Urban } \\ (30+\text { Rural }) \end{gathered}$ | AM |  |  |
|  |  | PM |  |  |
| Meets all above criteria? |  |  |  |  |
| Stop-Controlled Crossing: North Leg Crosswalk |  |  | YES | NO |
| Undivided Highway | Undivided** | Yes | X |  |
| Number of Through Traffic Lanes | 4 or More | 2 |  | X |
| Crossing Traffic Volume | > 500/hr | AM 473 |  | X |
|  |  | PM 253 |  | X |
| School Pedestrian Volume | 40+ per hour | AM 54 | X |  |
|  |  | PM 40 | X |  |
| Meets all above criteria? |  |  |  | X |
| Traffic Signal-Controlled Crossings |  |  | YES | NO |
| Turning Volume Through Crosswalk | > 300/hr | AM |  |  |
|  |  | PM |  |  |
| School Pedestrian Volume | 40+ per hour | AM |  |  |
|  |  | PM |  |  |
| Meets all above criteria? |  |  |  |  |
| OR Justified through analysis of the intersection operations (i.e. no LT phasing, RTORconflicts) |  |  |  |  |

* Whenever the critical (85th Percentile) speed exceeds 40 mph , the guidelines for rural areas should be applied.
** Undivided means does not have a raised or painted median (double double yellow line). A two-way left turn lane does not make a divided highway.

Comments: School Crosswalk on North Leg, 4-way Stop Controlled Intersection, no sidewalks on $27^{\text {th }}$ Street

