| ALTER A   | 12   | 208 THE STRAND  |              |
|---|--|---|--------------|
| ANNHATT. B  |  | ayment Amount: 500.   | 00           |
| State State   | MASTER APPLIC  | CATION FORM   |              |
| IS I A S IZH  |  |   |              |
|   | CITY OF MANHAT<br>COMMUNITY DEVELOPM   |   |              |
|   | COMMONTE DEVELOPIN   | MENT DEPARTMENT   |              |
| Column NIA 200  |  | Office Use Only   |              |
| CIPOR DI  |  | Date Submitted:   |              |
| WA IN CON   | mai  | // Received By:   |              |
| 22 1208 9   | TRAND, MAN har   | Ham F&G Check Submitted:  |              |
| Project Address 1. L.   | An Barr  | h Calil   |              |
| Stand See CITY  | Hope reach   | - Carry   |              |
| S a cost piton  |  |   |              |
| General Plan Designation  | Zoning Designati   | tion Area District  |              |
|   | al Development Permit select and of the  | a fellowing determinations <sup>1</sup>   |              |
| Project located in Appeal Jun   | al Development Permit, select one of the   | e following determinations :<br>cated in Appeal Jurisdiction  |              |
| Major Development (Pub  |  | aring Required (due to UP, Var, ME, etc.)   |              |
| Minor Development (Pub  |  | Hearing Required  |              |
|   |  |   |              |
|   | n (check all that apply)   | mit (Basidantia) 4220   |              |
| <ul> <li>( ) Appeal to PC/PPIC/BB</li> <li>( ) Coastal Development I</li> </ul>   |  | ermit (Residential) 4330<br>ermit (Commercial) 4330   |              |
| () Continuance  | 4343 () Use Per  | ermit Amendment 4332  |              |
| () Cuttural Landmark  | 4336 ( ) Variance  | ce 4331   |              |
| () Environmental Assess   |  | ec Quimby Fee 4425  |              |
| <ul> <li>Minor Exception</li> <li>Subdivision (Map Depo</li> </ul>  |  | plication meeting 4425<br>Hearing Notice 4339   |              |
| () Subdivision (Wap Depo  |  | rger/Adjust./\$15 rec. fee-4225   |              |
| () Subdivision (Final)  | 4334 ( ) Zoning I  | Business Review 4337  |              |
| () Subdivision (Lot Line A  |  |   |              |
| () Telecom (New or Rene   | wed) 4338 ( ) Other  |   |              |
|   | <u>ØrØØ</u> .(less Pre-Application Fee<br>Date Paid:   | Cashier:  |              |
|   | nt(s) Information  | wher of 1212 Tle Str  | w            |
|   |  | Which of the st   |              |
| Applicant(s)/Appella  |  |   |              |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya E  | aptr STROM   |   |              |
|   | ODERSTROM  | ./ 11 0   | 1            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Mora   | aptRSTROM<br>an Lane Rell  | ./ 11 0   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella  | ODERSTROM  | ./ 11 0   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Morg<br>Mailing Address<br>Nei ah bok  | an hane, Rell  | ./ 11 0   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya E.<br>Name<br>6 Mora   | ADERSTROM  | ./ 11 0   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Morg<br>Mailing Address<br>Meighbok<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Rei<br>MAYA   | ADERSTROM<br>AN LANC, REII   | BingHills Calif 90279<br>310-227-4303   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Morg<br>Mailing Address<br>Nei ah bok  | ADERSTROM<br>AN LANC, REII   | ./ 11 0   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>Mailing Address<br>Mailing Address<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Res<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Res<br>MAYA<br>Contact Person (include rela   | ADERSTROM<br>AN LANC, REII   | BingHills Calif 90279<br>310-227-4303   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Morg<br>Mailling Address<br>Mei Gh bok<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Rei<br>MAYA  | ADERSTROM<br>AN LANC, REII   | BingHills Calif 90279<br>310-227-4303   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Morg<br>Mailling Address<br>Mei Gh bok<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Rei<br>MAYA<br>Contact Person (include rela<br>Address   | aptRSTROM<br>an hane Rell<br>etionship to Property<br>ant ASTROM<br>ion to applicant/appellant)<br>an hor Relling  | <u>BingHills Calif</u> 90279<br><u>310-227-4</u> 303<br>Phone number / email<br>His Calif 90274   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>Mailing Address<br>Mailing Address<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Rei<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Rei<br>MAYA<br>Contact Person (include rela   | aptRSTROM<br>an hane Rell<br>etionship to Property<br>DDFRSTROM<br>ion to applicant/appellant)<br>an hm Refling<br>mature Ph                                 | HingHills Calif 90279<br><u>310-227-4</u> 303<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email   | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Morg<br>Meiling Address<br>Mei Gh bok<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Rei<br>MAYA<br>Contact Person (include rela<br>Address<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Sig   | etionship to Property<br>DOTERSTROM<br>ion to applicant/appellant)<br>an hni Rafling<br>nature Ph<br>Recise Se   | HingHills Calif 90279<br><u>310-227-4</u> 303<br>Phone number / email<br>His Ca 90274<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email | 4            |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>Meiling Address<br>Meiling Address<br>Meiling Address<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Re<br>MAYA<br>Contact Person (include rela<br>Address<br>Address<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Sig<br>Complete Project | etionship to Property<br>DOTERSTROM<br>ion to applicant/appellant)<br>an hni Rafling<br>nature Ph<br>Recise Se   | HingHills Calif 90279<br><u>310-227-4</u> 303<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email   | y<br>vc      |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>6 Morg<br>Mailling Address<br>Mei Gh bok<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Rei<br>MAYA<br>Contact Person (include rela<br>Address<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Sig  | etionship to Property<br>DOTERSTROM<br>ion to applicant/appellant)<br>an hni Rafling<br>nature Ph<br>Recise Se   | HingHills Calif 90279<br><u>310-227-4</u> 303<br>Phone number / email<br>His Ca 90274<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email | et<br>D<br>c |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>Mailing Address<br>Meilling Address<br>Mailing Address<br>Mailing Address<br>May About<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Sig<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Sig<br>Complete Project                             | aptRSTROM<br>an hane Rell<br>an hane Rell<br>an hane Rell<br>an han e Rell<br>an han Palling<br>an han Palling<br>an han Philip<br>Description-including any | HingHills Calif 90279<br><u>310-227-4</u> 303<br>Phone number / email<br>His Ca 90274<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email | 4<br>9<br>   |
| Applicant(s)/Appella<br>Maya C.<br>Name<br>Mailing Address<br>Mei ab bok<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Re<br>MAYA<br>Contact Person (include rela<br>Address<br>Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Sig<br>Complete Project                                    | etionship to Property<br>DOTERSTROM<br>ion to applicant/appellant)<br>an hni Rafling<br>nature Ph<br>Recise Se   | HingHills Calif 90279<br><u>310-227-4</u> 303<br>Phone number / email<br>His Ca 90274<br>Phone number / email<br>Phone number / email | 4<br>9<br>c  |

RECEIVED

<sup>1</sup> An Application for a Coastal Development Permit shall be made prior to, or concurrent with, an application for any other permit or approvals required for the project by the City of Manhattan Beach Municipal Code. *(Continued on reverse)* 

\*

## **OWNER'S AFFIDAVIT**

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

| attached, and not the indiminess, accuracy, or validity of that document.           |         |                |           |
|---|---------|----------------|-----------|
| STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |         |                |           |
| COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES   |         |                |           |
| have Socrataom  |         |                |           |
|   | being   | duly s         | worn,     |
| depose and say that I am/we are the owner(s) of the property involved in this ar    | oplicat | ion and        | that      |
| the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information h         | erewn   | in subn        | nitted    |
| are in all respects true and correct to the best of my/our knowledge and belief(s). |         |                |           |
| Mull Calto  |         |                |           |
| Signature of Property Owner(s) – (Not Owner in Escrow or Lessee)                    |         |                |           |
| NAUL SOFASTROM  |         |                |           |
| Print Name  | 1       | -              |           |
| 6 Morgan have happing Hills   | Un      | ly             |           |
| Mailing Address   |         | 0              |           |
| 310-221-4303  |         |                |           |
| Telephone/email 1 St  |         |                | 10        |
| Subscribed and swom to (or affirmed) before me this day of                          | 1       | , 20           | 10        |
| Dates & Has   | nm      | oved to        | o me      |
|   |         |                |           |
| on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the personal strain appendix            | Hed     | Beible         | the.      |
| PETE  | RGH/    | ROUN           | E         |
|   | AM. #22 |                | 8         |
| Notary Public 2 FUSTATION NOTATI  | NGELES  | CALIFORNI      | A Y       |
|   | ROELED  | COUNTY         | -         |
|   | m 07/3  | 12021          | ***       |
| Fee Schedule Summary  |         |                | IIP       |
| Below are the fees typically associated with the corresponding applications. A      | dditio  | nal fac        | e not     |
| shown on this sheet may apply – refer to current City Fee Resolution (con           |         |                |           |
| Division for assistance.) Fees are subject to annual adjustment.                    |         |                | ming      |
|   |         |                |           |
| Submitted Application (circle applicable fees, apply total to Fee Summary of        | on app  | olicatio       | <u>(n</u> |
| Coastal Development Permit  |         |                | -         |
| Public hearing – no other discretionary approval required:                          | \$      | 4,787          | _         |
| Public hearing – other discretionary approvals required:                            |         | 2,108          |           |
| No public hearing required – administrative:  |         | 1,303          | No.       |
| Use Permit  |         | 0 207          | 5         |
| Use Permit:<br>Master Use Permit:   | Ð       | 6,287          |           |
| Master Use Permit Amendment:  |         | 9,703<br>5,037 |           |
| Master Use Permit Conversion:   |         | 4.623          |           |
| Variance  |         | 4,025          | 4         |
| Filing Fee:   | ¢       | 6,078          | 53        |
| Minor Exception   | Ψ       | 0,070          | -         |
| Without notice:   | \$      | 1,452          |           |
| With notice:  | ¥       | 1,952          | 50        |
| Subdivision   |         | .,             | -         |
| Certificate of Compliance:  | S       | 1,625          |           |
| Final Parcel Map + mapping deposit:   | Ŧ       | 528            |           |
| Final Tract Map + mapping deposit:  |         | 732            |           |
| Mapping Deposit (paid with Final Map application):                                  |         | 500            |           |

\*\*

2

covers the City's costs of envelopes, postage and handling the mailing of public notices. Add this to filing fees above, as applicable:

<sup>2</sup>Make a separate \$75 check payable to LA County Clerk, (DO NOT PUT DATE ON CHECK)

Merger of Parcels or Lot Line Adjustment:

Tentative Tract Map (5 or more lots / units):

Quimby (Parks & Recreation) fee (per unit/lot):

Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) No Public Hearing:

Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) Public Hearing:

Environmental Review (contact Planning Division for applicable fee) Environmental Assessment (no Initial Study prepared):

Environmental Assessment (if Initial Study is prepared):

Fish and Game/CEQA Exemption County Clerk Posting Fee<sup>2</sup>:

Public Hearing Notice applies to all projects with public hearings and

Rffective 07/01/2017

1,133

1,817

1,309

3,079

75

\$70

\$ 215

3,557

4,060

| 1<br>2<br>3  | DIANA L. COURTEAU (SBN 113442<br>COURTEAU & ASSOCIATES<br>courteau-associates@msn.com<br>P.O. Box 2022   |   |
|--|--|---|
| 4<br>5<br>6  | El Segundo, CA 60245<br>Tel: (310) 376-4382<br>Fax: (310) 376-3482   |   |
| 7  | Attorneys for:<br>Appellant, 1212 THE STRAND   |   |
| 9  | CITY OF MAN  | HATTAN BEACH  |
| <ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> </ol> | 1212 THE STRAND         Appellant,         v.         1208 THE STRAND, UNITS A, B, AND C         Applicants.         CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH, OMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | )<br>APPEAL BY 1212 THE STRAND<br>TO MB CITY COUNCIL OF THE<br>CITY'S DIRECTOR AND<br>PLANNING COMMISSION'S<br>GRANT OF THE MINOR<br>EXCEPTION FOR 1208 THE<br>STRAND |
|  |  | 212 THE STRAND  |

1

2

3

4

**COMES NOW**, Appellant, 1212 The Strand (hereinafter "1212"), and Appeals to MB City Council the City of Manhattan Beach (hereinafter "CMB"), Community Development -Approval of Minor Exception – Amendment (hereinafter "AMEA") of 1208 The Strand, units A, B, and C, (hereinafter "1208"), and Appeal basis includes: (1.) 1208 is new construction, and not "alterations and remodel ...", and does not qualify as a Minor Exception - and should not be permitted the multiple non-conformities under Manhattan Beach Municipal Code (hereinafter "MBMC") § 10.84.120;

(2.) New construction entails significant material structural and other changes not allowed as Minor Exception. The original 1208 square footage, in year 2000, was 4,617 square feet. The AMEA's square footage of 4,460 is *incorrect*, "183 square feet of living area was [sic] removed from Unit C to expand the existing west facing deck in the front setback for a total square footage of 4,460 square feet for all three units, after the reduction." The actual total square footage is 4,434 not 4,460. The AMEA states, "a) The proposed project will be compatible with properties in the surrounding area since the building size is below the maximum allowable size permitted for the area district and there is no increase in square footage proposed." The AMEA shows square footage has been increased by 26 square feet. The AMEA statement, "b) The project will not be detrimental to surrounding neighbors..." is unsupported by the "revised plans dated January 18, 2918". The AMEA statement, "b) The third-floor deck projection into the front yard setback for Unit C will reduced by 2'8" and brought closer into compliance for maximum allowable deck projection" is not "compliance" within Chapter 10.68. The front yard deck reduced by 2'8" is not in compliance with the Building Code, nor is there any language within AMEA supporting a mere reducing of 2'8' other than the Director of Community Developments assertion of the Director's own subjective standard of "reasonable";

(3.) The percentage of changes represented by 1208 at 47% then 67% is inaccurate and misleading;

(4.) Ongoing misrepresentations by 1208 to the CMB and both neighboring properties;

2.

APPEAL BY 1212 THE STRAND

(5.) The new staircase and structural beams at 1208 are moved under the plans onto the property boundary of 1212 and interferes with 1212 access ingress and egress. The new construction, horizontal steel "I" beam protrudes over the property line of 1212, and into the property of 1212.<sup>1</sup> The stairs were moved by 1208 to a lower level and now go down to the public beach, not there previously at 1208.

(6.) The approval of Minor Exception by the CMB is interplete with inaccuracy including the statement, "b) The project will not be detrimental to surrounding neighbors …" in light of significant and material structural and other changes at 1208; including affecting 1212 boundary property line, ingress and egress, new stairs of 1208 and down to public beach, and safety access. MBMC 10.12.010(c.) "Protect adjoining single-family residential districts from excessive loss of sun, light, quiet, and privacy resulting from proximity to multifamily development." The "new staircase" and the "new entry door on the second floor" is in violation of 10.12.010 – Specific purposes.

(7.) To require 1208 conform with the existing Building Code requirements is not impractical and;

(8.) Conformity with the existing Building Code would not be unreasonable. The phrase "d) Existing nonconformities will not be brought into conformance since required conformance *would not be reasonable*" used by the Director of Community Development is not found within Chapter 10.68 nor within the CMB Building Code. The employment of a standard "*reasonable*" is outside the four corners of Section 10.84.120 of the Manhattan Beach Municipal Code. "Applicable Criteria 3. ". . . however non-conformities shall be bought *closer to or in conformance with current Zoning requirements to the extent that is reasonable and feasible*…" is language which is not consistent with the General Plan, nor consistent with the intention of the Zoning Code. The use of phrase "*reasonable and feasible*" does not appear within the CMB Building Code.

<sup>1</sup> The CMB Community Development Department knows this new horizontal steel beam protrudes over the property boundary line of 1212.

3.

APPEAL BY 1212 THE STRAND

(9.) The AMEA appears to represent a conflict of interest and bias by the CMB in favor of 1208 and against 1212. Particularly in light of the fact 1212 wrote to CMB on January 2, 2018. On January 11, 2018, Ms. Lisa Kranitz, Esq. wrote back to 1212, "This letter is response to your correspondence to the City of Manhattan Beach dated January 2, 2018 regarding the construction at my clients' building at 1208 The Strand. Coincidentally, I was meeting with the Community Development Director when this letter arrived." Ms. Kranitz's letter is troubling for the following reasons: 1.) The letter was not written to Ms. Kranitz, 2.) Ms. Kranitz copied the Director of Community Development, who never denied meeting with Ms. Kranitz, 3.) The Director of Community Development has denied she had a meeting with 1208, and 4.) The Director of Community Development refused to meet with 1212. On February 6, 2018, 1212 had a meeting with Mr. Heise and Ms. Jester. At this meeting both Mr. Heise and Ms. Jester stated the 1208 Plans needed "weeks maybe months before approval" and "the Fire Chief had not reviewed the Plans". On February 7, 2018, the Director of Community Development stated, in writing, she did not meet with 1208, and was discourteous and dismissive to the 1212 request. Within a mere twenty-four (24) business hours, on February 13, 2018 the AMEA was drafted by the Director of Community Development but not until seven (7) days later, February 20, 2018, was a copy provided to 1212.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(10.) Commissioner Stewart Fournier refused to recuse himself before or during the hearing knowing Commissioner Benjamin Burkhalter recused himself at the outset. Stewart Fournier heard Benjamin Burkhalter state that he knew one of the parties of the 1208 The Strand property. Stewart Fournier has been a real estate agent for 34 years in Manhattan Beach and has known John Altamura for over 30 years. Stewart Fournier walked into the chamber, stopped and shook John Altamura's hand. He did not greet any of the representatives of 1212 The Strand. During the hearing Stewart Fournier smiled a look at John Altamura on a number of occasions. Stewart Fournier asked only questions designed to support 1208 The Strand. One question in particular to Ms. Courteau and deliberately reversed the burden on 1212 The Strand asking Ms. Courteau if she had any proof that the new construction was greater than 90% when Stewart Fournier knew and had already been informed by Kenneth B. Bley that the ten percent (10%) of the existing structure was the burden of

4.

1208 The Strand NOT the burden of Ms. Courteau or 1212 The Strand. It was clear during the hearing that Stewart Fournier was 100% biased against 1212 The Strand and 100% for his friend and business associate John Altamura. Moreover, Stewart Fournier's questioning of Ms. Courteau, was designed completely to support his friend John Altamura and no doubt influenced the other three (3) commissioners.

It would not have been in Stewart Fournier's interest, as an investor, developer, buyer and seller of properties in Manhattan Beach to vote against the City's Director of Community Development. Stewart Fournier had a duty to recuse himself as did Benjamin Burkhalter and refused to do so to assist his friend and business associate John Altamura (the owners include two long term realtors in Manhattan Beach).

(11.) No evidence has been provided by 1208 The Strand that demonstrates that ten percent 10% of the existing structure, is currently being maintained, a condition that must be satisfied to allow approval of the amendment by the City. The fact that the Director of Community Development approved the Minor Exception without compliance with the Manhattan Beach Municipal Code is alarming and further supports bias on the part of the Director of Community Development. Without having complied with the Manhattan Beach Municipal Code demonstrating that ten percent 10% of the existing structure, is currently being maintained, Commissioner Sandra Seville-Jones bent over backwards praising the Director of Community Development and her Planning Staff for doing an outstanding job when it is virtually impossible for the Director of Community Development to have complied with § 10.84.120(G)(3). The burden of proof by statute is on 1208 The Strand, yet the City Director and Planning Commission have disregarded the law regarding burden of proof required in MB.

(12.) Where 1212 The Strand is entitled to appeal the hearing before the MB City Council within 60 days after filing this appeal a date at the outside of 60 days, mid to late June, is requested to have an architect review the plans of 1208 The Strand. 1212 The Strand requests a hearing in mid or late June and that the hearing before the MB City Council for 1200 Cherry Oca and 1212 The Strand both take place on the same date.

1

APPEAL BY 1212 THE STRAND

5.

(13.) The Director of Community Development and the Planning Commission allowed 1208 The Strand to show photographs that were not authenticated and without foundation and clearly portrayed new stairs and not the original older stairs in a deliberate inaccurate misrepresentation of the old stairs.

1212 reserves all rights and remedies to augment and supplement these Appeal grounds and supplement this Appeal with additional documents. All further challenges and remedies, regarding this construction, are Reserved.

Dated: May 8, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

COURTEAU & ASSOCIATES

By <u>/s Diana L Courteau</u> DIANA L. COURTEAU Attorney for Appellant, 1212 THE STRAND

APPEAL BY 1212 THE STRAND

6.