002471-0073 Linda 8. 03/26/2018 11:399M

Payment Amount:



MASTER APPLICATION FORM

CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Office Use Only Date Submitted: Received By: F&G Check Submitted:

00 Manhattan Ave, Manhattan B	each CA 90266		T de Onco	Couprilition.
Project Address 900 Club, Legal description not pr	ovided in draft R	tesolution PC No. 1	8-	A
egal Description	The second			=======================================
CD Downtown Commercial District		CD	- 111	D
General Plan Designation	Zo	ning Designation	Area Dist	rict
or projects requiring a Coastal Deve	lopment Permit, se	elect one of the follow	ving determinat	ions¹:
Project located in Appeal Jurisdiction	The first of	Project <u>not</u> located in	Appeal Jurisdi	ction
Major Development (Public Heari	ng required)	X Public Hearing R	equired (due to	UP, Var, ME, etc.
Minor Development (Public Hearl	ng, if requested)	No Public Hearin	g Required	2
Submitted Application (che	ock all that ann	alv)		=
() Appeal to PC/PPIC/BBA/CC	4225 \$500	() Use Permit (F	Residential)	4330
) Coastal Development Permit	4341	() Use Permit (4330
) Continuance	4343	() Use Permit A		4332
) Cultural Landmark	4336	() Variance	in on a mont	4331
) Environmental Assessment	4225	() Park/Rec Qui	imby Fee	4425
) Minor Exception	4333	() Pre-application		4425
) Subdivision (Map Deposit)	4300	() Public Hearin		4339
) Subdivision (Tentative Map)	4334	() Lot Merger/Ad		THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE
) Subdivision (Final)	4334	() Zoning Busin		4337
) Subdivision (Lot Line Adjust.)	4335	() Zoning Repo		4340
) Telecom (New or Renewed)	4338	() Other		THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
Receipt Number:	Date Paid: _		Cashier:	
Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) l	nformation			
Donald McPherson				
lame				
1014 1st St, Manhattan Beach, C	A 90266			
Mailing Address				
learby resident	n to Departs			
pplicant(s)/Appellant(s) Relationship	p to Property	C. II. 240 407 020	2	1.0
onald McPherson Contact Person (include relation to a	nnlicent/ennellent	Cell: 310 487 038	number / email	
		riione	number / email	
1014 1st St, Manhattan Beach, C.	A 90266			
ddress	^			
1-11011	1 -	Cell: 310 487 0383	, dmcpherson	la@gmail.com
Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Signature			number./.email	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Complete Project Descri	iption- inclu	ding any dem	olition (at	tach addition
Complete Project Descri pages as necessary)				
Complete Project Descripages as necessary) Appeal of 900 Club Use Permit	Amendment, Re	eso. No. PC 18-XX, 1		
Complete Project Descripages as necessary)) Appeal of 900 Club Use Permit) Please see the attached summary	Amendment, Re	eso. No. PC 18-XX, 1		

¹ An Application for a Coastal Development Permit shall be made prior to, or concurrent with, an application for any other permit or approvals required for the project by the City of Manhattan Beach Municipal Code. (Continued on reverse)

APPELLANT AFFIDAVIT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

	being duly sworn,
the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information hare in all respects true and correct to the best of my/our knowledge and belief(s).	erewith submitted
Signature of appellant	
Donald McPherson Print Name	
1014 1st St, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Mailing Address	
Cell: 310 487 0383, dmcphersonla@gmail.com	
Telephone/email Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me this Zoth day of Marc	h , 2018
by Forman rectileration	_, proved to me
on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appe	
Signature Notary Public	e attached
SEAL	
Fee Schedule Summary	*******
Below are the fees typically associated with the corresponding applications. A shown on this sheet may apply – refer to current City Fee Resolution (condition) for assistance.) Fees are subject to annual adjustment.	Additional fees not ntact the Planning
Submitted Application (circle applicable fees, apply total to Fee Summary Coastal Development Permit	on application)
Public hearing – no other discretionary approval required: Public hearing – other discretionary approvals required: No public hearing required – administrative:	\$ 4,727 🖾 2,083 🖾 1,287 🖾
Use Permit Use Permit:	\$ 6.207 ⊠
Master Use Permit:	9,578 🖾
Master Use Permit Amendment: Master Use Permit Conversion:	4,972 ≅ 4,564 ≅
Variance	
Filing Fee: Minor Exception	\$ 6,001
Without notice:	\$ 1,434
With notice: Subdivision	1,929 🖾
Certificate of Compliance:	\$ 1,604
Final Parcel Map + mapping deposit:	520 720
Final Tract Map + mapping deposit: Mapping Deposit (paid with Final Map application):	500
Merger of Parcels or Lot Line Adjustment:	1,119
Quimby (Parks & Recreation) fee (per unit/lot):	1,817
Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) No Public Hearing:	1,291
Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) Public Hearing: Tentative Tract Map (5 or more lots / units):	3,511 <i>점</i> 4,007 <i>점</i>
Environmental Review (contact Planning Division for applicable fee)	
Environmental Assessment (no Initial Study prepared):	\$ 215
Environmental Assessment (if Initial Study is prepared): Fish and Game/CEQA Exemption County Clerk Posting Fee ² :	3,040 75
Public Hearing Notice applies to all projects with public hearings and covers the City's costs of envelopes, postage and handling the mailing of public notices. Add this to filing fees above, as applicable:	\$ 70

CALIFORNIA JURAT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document, to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this Zlath day of March Zolfs Date Month Year Name of Signers proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
by Donald McPherson Name of Signers
by Donald McPherson Name of Signers
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
A. J. CASCADDEN
Signature: Signature of Notary Public Signature of Notary Public Signature of Notary Public Signature of Notary Public
Seal
Place Notary Seal Above
OPTIONAL
Though this section is optional, completing this information can deter alteration of the document or fraudule
attachment of this form to an unintended document.
Description of Attached Document Title or Type of Document: Appellant Affidavit.
Document Date:
Number of Pages:
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:

26 March 2018

Mayor Amy Howorth
City Council
City of Manhattan Beach
Via: Personal Delivery

Subject: Appeal of 900 Club CUP Amendment, Reso. No. PC 18-XX, 14 March. 2018

Mayor Howorth and Councilmembers,

My appeal addresses regulation violations in the subject resolution that will:

- 1) Violate Manhattan Beach Municipal Code ["MBMC"] §10.104.030(A)
- 2) Violate the California Environmental Quality Act ["CEQA"]; and,
- 2) Violate the California Fire Code.

The city council cannot make the required findings for the Use Permit amendment, Resolution No. PC 18-XX ["Resolution"], pursuant to MBMC 10.84.060(A).

MBMC 10.104.030(A): PUBLIC HEARING TO MODIFY USE PERMIT REQUIRED.

The February 28 staff report states on p.2, last ¶:

"Based on the discussion and suggestions made by the neighbors, staff believes that the only way to allow the Downstairs Bar to remain a bar and mitigate noise impacts on the surrounding neighborhood is to impose restrictions on the operation of the Downstairs bar..."

This statement establishes that reasonable grounds exist to modify the 900 Club use permit. In that case, MBMC 10.104.030(A) <u>mandates</u> that the Community Development Director and the Planning Commission <u>shall</u> set a hearing to modify the 900 Club use permit. These entities have violated the municipal code, by not setting said hearing.

CEQA VIOLATION: INVALID CLASS 1 CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION.

Resolution Section 1(P) states that the project qualifies for a Class 1 Categorical Exemption, because "It has been determined that the Project has no potential to result in a significant environmental impact..."

This statement directly conflicts with the expert opinion of staff cited above that the downstairs bar requires mitigation of noise impacts. As result of the staff expert opinion that substantial evidence exists of causing significant effects in the environment, the city must abandon the Class 1 exemption and conduct an environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

POTENTIAL VIOLATION OF THE FIRE CODE.

According to the public record of the 2014 use-permit revocation hearing, the city has only two sets of plans for the 900 Club property: 1) A 1978 version prepared by an architect; and, 2) A 1993 hand-drawn version prepared anonymously.

The city bases its fire-code compliance on the latter, which conflicts with the former, regarding compliance with egress requirements.

Since 1993, the 900 Club interior has undergone major modifications, without building permits, some involving the egresses required by the fire code. The city has no plans of these modifications.

The potential exists that the city permits overcrowding on the 2nd floor, thereby endangering public safety. At the March 14 approval of the resolution and its potential firecode violations, staff observed they would consider the noncompliance issues.

I look forward to bringing this appeal before the city council.

Thanks for your careful consideration of the facts,

Don McPherson,

1014 1st St, Manhattan Beach CA 90266

Cell: 310 487 0383

dmcphersonla@gmail.com