Karen Arguelles

From: JNR10@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 10:15 AM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: 5th st light pole Russo

Jason,
tried to reply to Laurie but was returned. John

Thank you Laurie
We am primarily only addressing the 5th and Manhattan Ave pole.
This is the concern of all Manhattan Ave as well as the east 5th street and west 5th walkway. Who got the letter Saturday? Most residents are telling me they never received the letter. We are putting together a petition and to date have found none in favor of the ATT project. The factors are initial harm and what will be continuing harm to Manhattan Ave and also we have gone through the years of solving the problem. ATT is late and we are not wanting to solve their outside strand walker, beach goer problem by topping light poles on Manhattan Ave.

Laurie
I do not know the urgency but we need more time to address our concerns. I will be talking to technical expert who is a resident of 5th and Manhattan Ave who knows everthing and I mean everything about this technology. He says he did no get the letter.

I have gone on too long.
Thanks John Russo

Karen Arguelles

From: Kris Klein <kklein120@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 12:44 PM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: AT&T cell tower

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello Jason,
We live on 18th street.
I stopped my city hall today, but it was a busy Monday morning.
May I ask if you can send over a photo and dimensions that the city is proposing on 18th/ Ocean before the upcoming meeting?
Thank you for you time.
Best,
Kris Klein

Sent from my iPhone

Karen Arguelles

From: Pk Adhikari <pkadhikarimd@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 05, 2017 4:32 PM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: AT&T towers

Mr. Masters,
Just writing you to give our vote of support regarding the creation of AT&T towers at fifth Street and 36 Street and Manhattan. My neighbors are AT&T and we are T-Mobile and have absolutely no service here in the Southbay at this area. However, we receive better than most home Wi-Fi standards elsewhere in the South Bay. It would be a huge added benefit for us to have these. Thank you

Prateek and Laura Adhikari
Homeowners, 512 B Manhattan Avenue.

Karen Arguelles

From: Jocelyn McBride <jocelyn_mcbride@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 1:58 PM
To: Jason Masters
Cc: Rob Rocco; Jocelyn McBride
Subject: AT&T

Dear Jason,

We live at 506 Manhattan Avenue near the corner of 5th where they plan to put in AT&T new equipment.

Our concern is we have a special needs child who has an issue with EMF and other toxic input. He is currently doing ok, I would hate for this to be installed and tank his progress.
Wondering which side of the 5th street intersection it will be? Any information is appreciated and any way it can **not** be near our house would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you,
Jocelyn and Robert

cc: Henry Donner, Esquire

Karen Arguelles

From: JNR10@aol.com
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 2:58 PM
To: Jason Masters; List - City Council; City Manager
Subject: ATT POLE AT 5TH AND MANHATTAN aVE

Jason
We received the city letter Saturday. As a result I notified city council and City Manager and am organizing an opposing petition. I assume you are caught in the center. Giving us one day for comments to staff from homeowners seems out of line. Thanks, John Russo
FYI

City Council of Manhattan Beach

I am writing in opposition to the proposed council action to appease and benefit ATT by putting unsightly attachments and exterior 6' vaults to our lamppost on 5th and Manhattan Ave. Residents endured and paid for undergrounding and as a result Manhattan Ave from 1st to 8th is one of the most open and line free streets in the city. Why are we even considering this ATT giveaway (even if they are paying) when there are alternatives called Verizon. Let ATT come up with a technical answer and not add blight.

I also take objection to notice. Residents received notice on August 5 for the first time. Input to staff is due August 7. Review of staff output on or around August 10 and council on August 15. Give us a break. Is this an ATT/city planning/Council collusion to ram it through when many are on vacation. This proposed action of the city does not meet any concept of community input given the timetable. We will need time to seek council, organize a community input, and give meaningful alternative input to this ATT giveaway.

Hopefully the city council will understand our concern with this proposal. If you did a survey of affected Manhattan Beach Residents, not outside Strand walkers, we have resolved the problem by not using ATT. The city should not be in the business of causing blight along the Manhattan Beach coast to financially benefit ATT.

Thank you

John and Karen Russo

421 and 415 Manhattan Ave. Manhattan Beach

Petition to oppose adding ATT mast appendage to light pole at 5th and Manhattan Ave and 6' exterior vault container to surface below lightpole.

You can also further our effort by emailing City council and City Manager at CITYCOUNCIL@CITYMB.INFO, cm@citymb.info We have a very short time to voice our opposition.

Karen Arguelles

From: hanna otto <hannaot123@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 06, 2017 3:47 PM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: Cell towers!!

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

We pay our taxes just like everyone else but don't have cell service like everyone else!!! Our family along with most families no longer have land lines, we depend on our cell phones for emergencies and day to day living.

We support new cell towers in the area where we live, 200 block of 6th Street in Manhattan Beach!!

Would love to talk to you about it but I have no cell service...

Hanna Otto
(Cell) 310 619-6044
Sent from my iPhone

Karen Arguelles

From: Ira Goldstone <iragoldstone@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 5:45 PM
To: Laurie B. Jester; Jason Masters
Cc: Karen Russo - Press; janiedixonkissel@gmail.com; Ira Goldstone
Subject: FW: ATT telecom Manhattan Ave and 5th
Attachments: 4-CA 16-39 Application Materials- Manhattan Avenue and 5th Place.pdf; ATT Wireless notice CC 8-15-17.pdf

Hi Laurie:

Thanks a bunch for the discussion today and the follow up information. I very much appreciate your rapid response.

In reviewing the paperwork I have several concerns:

- As I cut out of the documentation and pasted below, the antennas will extrude past the thickness of the mounting light pole causing un acceptable obstruction of my view. (My house is the one with the flag on it. 420 Manhattan Ave.)
- There are no radio frequency radiation patterns included in the documentation. Additionally there are no indications of RF exposure levels to neighboring homes. As you may be aware exposure over certain OSHA approved levels may cause health risks to home owners occupying homes directly in the path of the transmission radios.
- The affect of these antennas in our residential community can not only have an effect on property values and aesthetics of the neighborhood but also alter the ambience of the neighborhood in which they are erected.
- All of us paid thousands of dollars to underground utilities back in the early 90's. Approval of this AT&T request is contrary to those original objectives of clearing sight lines and will contaminate the environment.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss further. I may be reached on my cell: 310-753-2233or via email.

Thanks a bunch!!

Ira Goldstone
420 Manhattan Ave


BETA SECTOR
340° AZIMUTH
PROPOSED AT&T (2) FLUSH
MOUNTED PANEL ANTENNAS
ALPHA SECTOR
160° AZIMUTH
PROPOSED AT&T 24'-3" TALL
STREET LIGHT POLE TO REPLACE
(E) 24'-3" TALL POLE

From: "Laurie B. Jester" <ljester@citymb.info>
Date: Tuesday, August 8, 2017 at 3:37 PM
To: Ira Goldstone <iragoldstone@gmail.com>
Cc: Jason Masters <jmasters@citymb.info>
Subject: ATT telecom Manhattan Ave and 5th

Ira-
Good to talk to you on the phone-
Attached is the notice and the photo simulation for this one site.
Please contact Jason if you have further questions.
Comments will be forwarded to the City Council up until the meeting on August 15th.
Thank you!
Laurie

Laurie B. Jester
Planning Manager
P: (310) 802-5510
E: ljester@citymb.info

Office Hours: M - Th 7:30AM - 5:30 PM | Alternate Open Fridays 8:00AM - 5:00 PM | Closed Alternate Fridays | Not Applicable to Public Safety

Here for you 24/7, use our click and fix it app Reach Manhattan Beach
Download the mobile app now

Karen Arguelles

From: Ira Goldstone <iragoldstone@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 6:18 PM
To: Ira Goldstone
Cc: Laurie B. Jester; Jason Masters; Karen Russo - Press; janiedixonkissel@gmail.com; Ira Goldstone
Subject: Re: ATT telecom Manhattan Ave and 5th

LAURIE and JASON

One other key issue just occurred to me. If high-energy radiation is placed in that neighborhood where the signal levels from other cellular carriers are much lower and on the edge of receivability, the radio frequency noise floor will Raise and potentially reduce the coverage of the other Cellular carriers such as Verizon and Sprint. In a fact they can desensitize cell phones from these other carriers and their ability to discriminate between desired and undesired signals.

Once again thanks.

Ira

Ira Goldstone

> On Aug 8, 2017, at 5:47 PM, Ira Goldstone <iragoldstone@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> Hi Laurie:
>
> Thanks a bunch for the discussion today and the follow up information. I very much appreciate your rapid response.
>
> In reviewing the paperwork I have several concerns:
>
>
> * As I cut out of the documentation and pasted below, the antennas will extrude past the thickness of the mounting light pole causing un acceptable obstruction of my view. (My house is the one with the flag on it. 420 Manhattan Ave.)
> * There are no radio frequency radiation patterns included in the documentation. Additionally there are no indications of RF exposure levels to neighboring homes. As you may be aware exposure over certain OSHA approved levels may cause health risks to home owners occupying homes directly in the path of the transmission radios.
> * The affect of these antennas in our residential community can not only have an effect on property values and aesthetics of the neighborhood but also alter the ambience of the neighborhood in which they are erected.
> * All of us paid thousands of dollars to underground utilities back in the early 90's. Approval of this AT&T request is contrary to those original objectives of clearing sight lines and will contaminate the environment.
>
> Please let me know if you would like to discuss further. I may be reached on my cell: 310-753-2233or via email.
>
> Thanks a bunch!!
>
> Ira Goldstone
> 420 Manhattan Ave
>

Karen Arguelles

From: Norton, Philip <Phil.Norton@colliers.com>
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 4:54 PM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: RE: att telecom

18th and Ocean. I live on 19th. thanks for your help

Philip Norton
Senior Vice President | Commerce Office
License No. 369863

Colliers International
5100 S. Eastern Ave. Ste 100
Commerce, CA 90040
Tel 323 726 1200
Direct 323 278 3115
Fax 323 278 3000
Phil.norton@colliers.com
www.colliers.com
Our *Knowledge* is your Property

From: Jason Masters [mailto:jmasters@citymb.info]
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 4:39 PM
To: Norton, Philip
Subject: RE: att telecom

Philip,
The antennas are mounted on the top of the street lights, while the cabinets look similar to other utility cabinets often found on sidewalks or other areas of the public right of way. Which location are you interested in?

Jason Masters
Assistant Planner
P: (310) 802-5515
E: jmasters@citymb.info

Office Hours: M - Th 7:30AM - 5:30 PM | Alternate Open Fridays 8:00AM - 5:00 PM | Closed Alternate Fridays | Not Applicable to Public Safety

Here for you 24/7, use our click and fix it app Reach Manhattan Beach
Download the mobile app now

From: Norton, Philip [mailto:Phil.Norton@colliers.com]
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: att telecom

Mr. Masters: what do they look like? Can this be sent via email? thanks

Philip Norton
Senior Vice President | Commerce Office
License No. 369863

Colliers International
5100 S. Eastern Ave. Ste 100
Commerce, CA 90040
Tel 323 726 1200
Direct 323 278 3115
Fax 323 278 3000
Phil.norton@colliers.com
www.colliers.com

Karen Arguelles

From: rayj310@gmail.com on behalf of Ray Joseph <homes@rayjoseph.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 05, 2017 10:50 AM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: Fwd: Cell Phone coverage for public safety

Jason,

Cell towers are for public safety. Not only in placing calls but also in receiving them. A few weeks ago my neighbors daughter was hit by a car on 6th and Manhattan Ave. I had no cell coverage to call her parents!!! All I get out there is NO SERVICE!!!!

PUT THE TOWERS IN!!!!!!!!!!!!

Thanks, Ray

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Ray Joseph** <homes@rayjoseph.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 30, 2017 at 9:39 PM
Subject: Cell Phone coverage for public safety
To: Richard Montgomery <rmontgomery@citymb.info>, snapolitano@citymb.info, David Lesser <dlesser@citymb.info>, nhersman@citymb.info, Ahoworth <ahoworth@citymb.info>

I saw on the city agenda something related to small cell towers. I know the city has little ability to get Cell phone companies to increase coverage, however please reduce the road blocks.

We don't give our children cell phones so they can play games. We give them cell phones for SAFETY. Payphones are no longer widely available and we don't want our kids asking strangers to use their phones.

On Thursday one of my neighbors daughters was hit by a car and I didn't have cell coverage to call 911 or their parents. Good thing people with different cell phone providers we able to make the calls. Several years ago her neighbors came running into her house screaming to call 911 with their unconscious 2 year old in their arms because they didn't have cell coverage.

Cell phones are not luxury items, they are very important safety items. Please do everything you can going forward to make it easier for cell phone companies to increase coverage. The beach is not a safe area.

--
Kind Regards,

Ray Joseph
228 6th ST
Manhattan Beach CA 90266
Cell 310-545-7295

Karen Arguelles

From: jnr10 <jnr10@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 2:01 PM
To: Jason Masters
Subject: RE: 5th st light pole Russo

This is the correct Ira.
Thanks for meeting. I think you will have many issues. The city and vendors will create chaos piecemeal. City needs a better answer or we will further blyte the city with separate towers everywhere. We deserve better.
Thanks John

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Jason Masters
Date: 8/8/17 11:52 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: JNR10@aol.com
Subject: RE: 5th st light pole Russo

John,

It was a pleasure meeting you this morning. I will be sure to include your comments to the staff report. Also, I looked up Ira, and found an Ira Goldstone at 5th street and Manhattan Ave. whose name was including in the mailing, although I'm not sure if this was the Ira you were speaking of.

Please feel free to email me any further questions or concerns. Take care,
Jason

Jason Masters
Assistant Planner
P: (310) 802-5515
E: jmasters@citymb.info

Office Hours: M - Th 7:30AM - 5:30 PM | Alternate Open Fridays 8:00AM - 5:00 PM | Closed Alternate Fridays | Not Applicable to Public Safety

Here for you 24/7, use our click and fix it app Reach Manhattan Beach
Download the mobile app now

From: JNR10@aol.com [mailto:JNR10@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 10:15 AM

To: Jason Masters
Subject: 5th st light pole Russo

Jason,

tried to reply to Laurie but was returned. John

Thank you Laurie

We am primarily only addressing the 5th and Manhattan Ave pole.

This is the concern of all Manhattan Ave as well as the east 5th street and west 5th walkway. Who got the letter Saturday? Most residents are telling me they never received the letter. We are putting together a petition and to date have found none in favor of the ATT project. The factors are initial harm and what will be continuing harm to Manhattan Ave and also we have gone through the years of solving the problem. ATT is late and we are not wanting to solve their outside strand walker, beach goer problem by topping light poles on Manhattan Ave.

Laurie

I do not know the urgency but we need more time to address our concerns. I will be talking to technical expert who is a resident of 5th and Manhattan Ave who knows everthing and I mean everything about this technology. He says he did no get the letter.

I have gone on too long.

Thanks John Russo

Karen Arguelles

From: Ira Goldstone <Ira.Goldstone@fox.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 11:06 AM
To: Laurie B. Jester; Ira Goldstone
Cc: Jason Masters; Karen Russo - Press; janiedixonkissel@gmail.com
Subject: Re: ATT telecom Manhattan Ave and 5th

Thanks for the additional info Laurie. I plan to attend the meeting on the 15th.

How do I arrange to come in and view the public files associated with their application? Can we schedule Friday the 11th at 9:00 AM?

Thanks

Ira

Ira Goldstone
Senior Executive Engineer
Fox NE&O
Office: 310-369-6190

On 8/9/17, 11:01 AM, "Laurie B. Jester" <ljester@citymb.info> wrote:

>Ira-
>
>Thank you for your comments. They will be attached to the City Council
>staff report.
>
>The Council will receive all public comments up until the 15th and
>anyone is welcome to comment at the public hearing on the 15th.
>
>
>
>The RF reports are all part of the public files with the application.
>You are welcome to come in and review them. All carriers are required
>to comply with FCC, federal Telecommunications Act, and other Federal
>regulations, including RF standards.
>
>Testing prior to and after installation is required to comply with
>Federal regulations.
>
>
>
>Thank you
>

>Laurie
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Laurie B. Jester
>
>Planning Manager
>
>(310) 802-5510
>
>ljester@citymb.info
>
>City of Manhattan Beach, CA
>
>
>
>Office Hours: M - Th 7:30AM - 5:30 PM | Alternate Open Fridays 8:00AM -
>5:00 PM | Closed Alternate Fridays | Not Applicable to Public Safety
>
>
>
>Here for you 24/7, use our click and fix it app
>https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__www.citymb.info_rea
>chm
>anhattanbeach&d=DwIGaQ&c=uw6TLu4hwhHdiGJOgwcWD4AjKQx6zvFcGEsbfiY9-EI&r=
>gVT
>JhXHm0KxQBr0bQCW8dr4XMPiUxjDNH60dspHRm6w&m=FXJDvzBQpx4tiuItYLilkXeshD6t
>0iY 3CyRDpM81xaw&s=moCDpeUaQ2ceR23iQiN1k_AMfdrln-hd65KIkk7J6T8&e=
>
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>
>From: Ira Goldstone [mailto:iragoldstone@gmail.com]
>
>Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 6:18 PM
>
>To: Ira Goldstone <iragoldstone@gmail.com>
>
>Cc: Laurie B. Jester <ljester@citymb.info>; Jason Masters
><jmasters@citymb.info>; Karen Russo - Press <kkrusso@aol.com>;
>janiedixonkissel@gmail.com; Ira Goldstone <Ira.Goldstone@fox.com>
>
>Subject: Re: ATT telecom Manhattan Ave and 5th
>
>
>
>LAURIE and JASON
>

>
>
>One other key issue just occurred to me. If high-energy radiation is
>placed in that neighborhood where the signal levels from other cellular
>carriers are much lower and on the edge of receivability, the radio
>frequency noise floor will Raise and potentially reduce the coverage of
>the other Cellular carriers such as Verizon and Sprint. In a fact they
>can desensitize cell phones from these other carriers and their ability
>to discriminate between desired and undesired signals.
>
>
>
>Once again thanks.
>
>
>
>Ira
>
>
>
>Ira Goldstone
>
>
>
>
>
>> On Aug 8, 2017, at 5:47 PM, Ira Goldstone <iragoldstone@gmail.com>
>>wrote:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Hi Laurie:
>
>>
>
>> Thanks a bunch for the discussion today and the follow up information.
>>I very much appreciate your rapid response.
>
>>
>
>> In reviewing the paperwork I have several concerns:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> * As I cut out of the documentation and pasted below, the antennas
>>will extrude past the thickness of the mounting light pole causing un
>>acceptable obstruction of my view. (My house is the one with the flag
>>on it. 420 Manhattan Ave.)
>

>> * There are no radio frequency radiation patterns included in the
>>documentation. Additionally there are no indications of RF exposure
>>levels to neighboring homes. As you may be aware exposure over certain
>>OSHA approved levels may cause health risks to home owners occupying
>>homes directly in the path of the transmission radios.
>
>> * The affect of these antennas in our residential community can not
>>only have an effect on property values and aesthetics of the
>>neighborhood but also alter the ambience of the neighborhood in which
>>they are erected.
>
>> * All of us paid thousands of dollars to underground utilities back
>>in the early 90's. Approval of this AT&T request is contrary to those
>>original objectives of clearing sight lines and will contaminate the
>>environment.
>
>>
>
>> Please let me know if you would like to discuss further. I may be
>>reached on my cell: 310-753-2233or via email.
>
>>
>
>> Thanks a bunch!!
>
>>
>
>> Ira Goldstone
>
>> 420 Manhattan Ave
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> [cid:72E3EDD2-40DF-471E-A945-4AEE516D127A]
>
>>
>
>> From: "Laurie B. Jester"
>
>> <ljester@citymb.info<mailto:ljester@citymb.info>>
>
>> Date: Tuesday, August 8, 2017 at 3:37 PM
>
>> To: Ira Goldstone
>
>> <iragoldstone@gmail.com<mailto:iragoldstone@gmail.com>>
>

>> Cc: Jason Masters <jmasters@citymb.info<mailto:jmasters@citymb.info>>
>
>> Subject: ATT telecom Manhattan Ave and 5th
>
>>
>
>> Ira-
>
>> Good to talk to you on the phone-
>
>> Attached is the notice and the photo simulation for this one site.
>
>>
>
>> Please contact Jason if you have further questions.
>
>> Comments will be forwarded to the City Council up until the meeting
>>on August 15th.
>
>>
>
>> Thank you!
>
>> Laurie
>
>>
>
>> Laurie B. Jester
>
>> Planning Manager
>
>> P: (310) 802-5510
>
>> E: ljester@citymb.info<mailto:ljester@citymb.info>
>
>> [City of Manhattan Beach,
>
>>
>>CA]<https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__www.citymb.inf
>>>
>>o&d=DwMFAw&c=uw6TLu4hwhHdiGJOgwcWD4AjKQx6zvFcGEsbfiY9-EI&r=gVTJhXHm0Kx
>
>> QBr0bQCW8dr4XMPiUxjDNH60dspHRm6w&m=MWBIDRFI8ew2iPHRCV5GY4AVVuq6Y_BYge
>> 5
>
>> 1ghwqqgo&s=Zh5LuzqnoAYuu_3iWXxSJKbUa3JVB58tvlhqyDqi9Os&e=>
>
>> Office
>
>>
>>Hours<https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__www.citymb.i
>>>
>>nfo_city-2Dservices_new-2Dcity-2Dhall-2Dhours&d=DwMFAw&c=uw6TLu4hwhHdi

08/09/17

Dear council member and city manager

When I wrote to you August 6, I did receive a response of forwarding from Steve and Richard. Jason and Laurie is aware of what has happened since and it is amazing to me that all of you do not know what all the fuss is about.

Before I go much further, adequate notice to the community was not given. The letters arrived to those who got them on Saturday August 5. I will assume this was not done to curtail input on the subject. I request that the matter not be the subject of the August 15 council meeting. The situation and the ATT filing has too many questions for any decision to be made other than postponment.

Not knowing that the city has some prior knowledge of problems, I asked a concerned resident knowing this is his field of knowledge.

After reviewing the ATT filing, he presented the following to Jason and Laurie. Some of the points are what I brought up but I also have concern for the technical issues not covered in your letter to residents.

Thank you for hearing me out and hopefully you understand this is no small thing in the city. You have to get it right

John Russo
310-422-4212

From: iragoldstone@gmail.com
To: iragoldstone@gmail.com
CC: ljester@citymb.info, jmasters@citymb.info, KKRUSSO@aol.com, janiedixonkissel@gmail.com, Ira.Goldstone@fox.com
Sent: 8/8/2017 6:17:38 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time
Subj: Re: ATT telecom Manhattan Ave and 5th

LAURIE and JASON

One other key issue just occurred to me. If high-energy radiation is placed in that neighborhood where the signal levels from other cellular carriers are much lower and on the edge of receivability, the radio frequency noise floor will Raise and potentially reduce the coverage of the other Cellular carriers such as Verizon and Sprint. In a fact they can desensitize cell phones from these other carriers and their ability to discriminate between desired and undesired signals.

Once again thanks.

Ira

Ira Goldstone

> On Aug 8, 2017, at 5:47 PM, Ira Goldstone <iragoldstone@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> Hi Laurie:

>
> Thanks a bunch for the discussion today and the follow up information. I very much appreciate your rapid response.
>
> In reviewing the paperwork I have several concerns:
>
>
> * As I cut out of the documentation and pasted below, the antennas will extrude past the thickness of the mounting light pole causing un acceptable obstruction of my view. (My house is the one with the flag on it. 420 Manhattan Ave.)
> * There are no radio frequency radiation patterns included in the documentation. Additionally there are no indications of RF exposure levels to neighboring homes. As you may be aware exposure over certain OSHA approved levels may cause health risks to home owners occupying homes directly in the path of the transmission radios.
> * The affect of these antennas in our residential community can not only have an effect on property values and aesthetics of the neighborhood but also alter the ambience of the neighborhood in which they are erected.
> * All of us paid thousands of dollars to underground utilities back in the early 90's. Approval of this AT&T request is contrary to those original objectives of clearing sight lines and will contaminate the environment.
>
> Please let me know if you would like to discuss further. I may be reached on my cell: 310-753-2233or via email.
>
> Thanks a bunch!!
>
> Ira Goldstone
> 420 Manhattan Ave
>
>
>

From: JNR10@aol.com
To: CITYCOUNCIL@CITYMB.INFO, cm@citymb.info
Sent: 8/6/2017 7:35:58 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time
Subj: 5TH STREET ATT LIGHTPOLE

Dear council member

I am writing in opposition to the proposed council action to appease and benefit ATT by putting unsightly attachments and exterior 6' vaults to our lamppost on 5th and Manhattan Ave. Residents endured and paid for undergrounding and as a result Manhattan Ave from 1st to 8th is one of the most open and line free streets in the city. Why are we even considering this ATT giveaway (even if they are paying) when there are alternatives called Verizon. Let ATT come up with a technical answer and not add blight.

I also take objection to notice. Residents received notice on August 5 for the first time. Input to staff is due August 7. Review of staff output on or around August 10 and council on August 15. Give us a break. Is this an ATT/city planning/Council collusion to ram it through when many are on vacation. This

proposed action of the city does not meet any concept of community input given the timetable. We will need time to seek council, organize a community input, and give meaningful alternative input to this ATT giveaway.

Hopefully the city council will understand our concern with this proposal. If you did a survey of affected Manhattan Beach Residents, not outside Strand walkers, we have resolved the problem by not using ATT. The city should not be in the business of causing blight along the Manhattan Beach coast to financially benefit ATT.

Thank you

John and Karen Russo

421 and 415 Manhattan Ave. Manhattan Beach