# MAJOR PROJECTS BY DEPARTMENT (Resource Intensive)

## **POLICE**

- ➤ ALPR & Community Camera Project Implementation
- ➤ Interoperability Enhancement Project/Radio Replacement
- > Computer Aided Dispatch Replacement
- Records Management System Replacement
- ➤ Crisis Response/Mental Illness Training
- ➤ 2016-2018 Strategic Plan Implementation
- ➤ Blue Checks Fingerprint Reader Implementation
- > Engagement with Citizens via Social Media

## FIRE

- Developing a succession plan for all Fire Department ranks
- Improving report documentation and data collection, including use of new technologies
- Implementing the recently created fire permit program for occupancies and processes and working with affected parties
- Comprehensive update to fire's records management system and considering coordination with new dispatch software

#### INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

- City Website Redesign
- City-wide Fiber Master Plan
- Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system to support Finance and Human Resources operations
- > New Permits System with online user interface
- Research and review possible Agenda Management solutions
- Complete Network Expansion project to support free public WiFi and increase the capacity of current network

#### PARKS AND RECREATION

- Joint Use Agreement with the Manhattan Beach Unified School District
- Update Field Allocation and Facility Policy
- Update Special Event Policy
- Update Tree and Bench and Strand Bench Donation programs and policies.
- > Parks Master Plan Request for Proposal
- Manhattan Village Turf Replacement and Marine Avenue Park Infield Turf Installation in Partnership with Community Sports Groups.
- Commission Work Plan Development with the Cultural Arts, Parks and Recreation and Library Commissions
- Implement Sand Dune and Tennis Reservation system updates
- Evaluate Sponsorship Opportunities

## **PUBLIC WORKS**

- Sepulveda Widening Construction Project
- > Other Significant CIP Projects
  - Peck Reservoir Replacement
  - Poinsettia Sewer Lift Station Reconstruction
  - Pier and Roundhouse Aquarium Renovation
  - Citywide Wayfinding Signage
  - Citywide Facility Improvements
- ➤ Big 3 Fire Station 2, City Hall and Begg Pool
- ➤ Complete Street Tree Master Plan
- Veterans Parkway Masterplan and Access Improvements
- > Renewable and Energy Efficiency Programs
  - Community Choice Aggregation (CCA)
  - Environmental Workplan Update
  - Streetlight Purchase and LED Retrofit
- Residential Utility Undergrounding

## **HUMAN RESOURCES**

- Complete transition to new Risk Pool
- Update and Implement City's Safety Policy and Program.
- Develop Medical Provider Network for treatment of Workers Compensation injuries.
- Develop a risk funding policy.
- Develop a rate allocation policy for appropriately allocating charges to departments for ISF funding contributions.
- Review and update Personnel Rules and Personnel Instructions.
- Negotiate first collective bargaining agreement with the newly formed MBMEA.
- Complete city-wide total compensation survey and analysis.

# MAJOR PROJECTS BY DEPARTMENT (Resource Intensive)

## **FINANCE**

- ➤ Non-Profit Funding Policies and Procedures
- ➤ Audits of City Ground Leases, including:
  - Manhattan Country Club
  - 1334 Parkview Office Building
  - Metlox complex
- Conduct audit of MBS Media Campus business license agreement
- Streamline contracting procedures and payment processes while also improving internal controls
- > Audit of utility bills from utility providers
- ➤ Selection of new Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system
- Revisit Storm Water and Street Lighting funding analyses and options
- > Pension issues:
  - Options for addressing unfunded pension liabilities (rate stabilization fund, pay-down, re-amortization, etc.)
  - Absorbing increased pension costs from new discount rate
- FASB74 implementation for FY 2016-2017, which applies the same rules as CASB68 (net pension liabilities) to Other Post Employee Benefits (OPEB)

## MANAGEMENT SERVICES

- Employee Engagement and Development Programming
- Organizational Leadership
- Facilitate City Council Initiatives and Support Ongoing Success
- Ongoing Civic Engagement
- Business Engagement Activities
  - Website/Social Media
  - Printed collateral
  - Coordinate BID's
  - Assist local businesses
- Update agreement with MBUSD
- Automated Agenda Solution and Closed Caption Services
- Campaign and Conflict of Interest Filing on City Website
- Digital Public Records Online, including Contract Management and E-Signatures

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

- Downtown Specific Plan Implementation
- Historic Preservation
- Mobility Plan Update
- Development Projects
  - Manhattan Village Mall Project
  - Gelson's Project
  - Crossing Guard Study
  - Skechers HQ EIR
  - Rosecrans/Highland (NE corner) Mixed-Use project
- Document Management System
- Permitting Software Solution
- Management Solutions Space Planning
- Special Traffic Studies/Grants
  - HSIP 2012 Sepulveda/8th St. Project
  - Neighborhood & Traffic Safety Studies
  - Veterans Parkway Crossing Study
- Downtown Parking Management Plan Update
- Accessory Dwelling Units
- Short-Term/Vacation Rentals

## Efficiency Measures by Department

## **Police**

- 1. Implemented Administrative Investigations Management software.
- 2. Moved the Park Ranger functions to PD to enhance efficiency and effectiveness of park enforcement.
- 3. Installed Automatic Vehicle Location services in patrol and field vehicles to make operations and regional response more efficient.
- 4. Trained additional personnel in Nixle to enhance efficiency of communication with our community.
- 5. Implemented the Residential and Commercial Security Camera Registration Program to enhance efficiency of the investigative process.
- 6. Implement Training Management Software.
- 7. Implement Background Investigations Management software.
- 8. Enhance field investigations through the deployment of mobile fingerprint identification technology for patrol vehicles.
- 9. Implement Palantir/Smart Justice access to enhance information sharing and expedite investigations.
- 10. Make interoperable communications more efficient by transitioning to the ICIS communication system.
- 11. Enhance investigative abilities with the installation of community cameras and ALPRS at points of ingress and egress.
- 12. Create a comprehensive Communication Plan.

## **Fire**

- 1. Collecting patient care records electronically (ePCRs), which improves data collection and ambulance billing documentation.
- 2. Modified vehicle serving schedules to better coordinate with trainings and fire inspections.
- 3. Monthly station inspection program to identify maintenance concerns and reduce work related injuries.
- 4. New child car seat installation trainings to certify CERT members and Fire Department staff in performing those installations.

## **Community Development**

- 1. Creation of Performance Measure Logs that will track Plan Check turnaround logs for Planning and Building.
- 2. A counter tracking system to determine counter flow, type of service and wait-times.
- 3. Revamped inspection log, which has increased the efficiency of inspection requests.
- 4. Building Record Report processing time has been reduced well over 50+% due to cross training. Wait time has been reduced from 10-20 working days, to 5 working days or less.

## **Parks and Recreation**

- 1. Restructured Dept. Administration structure to enhance communication and collaboration among different divisions, while providing salary cost savings.
- 2. Reviewed use of no show letters and resulting outcomes and chose to discontinue practice, saving significant staff time and community confusion.
- 3. Implemented digital, real-time routing information system for Dial a Ride scheduling and tracking, which has improved communication and data collection.
- 4. Introduced tablets to be used for park inspections and access to real-time information regarding class registration at different facilities.
- 5. Installed Comet Tracker app on City-issued phones to allow for easy staff location when necessary.
- 6. Moved the Park Ranger functions to PD to enhance efficiency and effectiveness of park enforcement.
- 7. Increased use of digital tools and resources, including releasing the quarterly Manhappenings online and promotion of events via social media and email, which creates cost savings by cutting back on printing and postage.
- 8. Leveraged Los Angeles County grant funding to construct new community Skate Park.
- 9. Implemented more efficient processes for participants to make clay purchases and reserve open studio time, which decreased redundancy and scheduling errors.
- 10. Introduced a Sports Coordinator position that eliminated redundant part-time positions and will manage playing field use and expand adult sports leagues, increasing revenue.
- 11. Established an Older Adults Program phone line for reservations, which allows for more accurate reservation tracking.
- 12. Maintain and fortify partnerships with community groups and agencies in order to offer additional programming at minimal cost to City.
- 13. Outsourcing non-essential staff functions, such as event staging, in order to more effectively use staff skills.

## **Public Works**

- 1. Transitioning City-owned highway safety lights above signaled intersections to LED lamps, which will create approximately \$31,000 in savings each year.
- 2. Implemented Water Distribution System Flushing maintenance program to clear pipelines, while significantly reducing water loss by up to 29 million gallons of water.

## **Management Services**

- 1. Consolidated Public Records Requests so each dept. has one contact person and all requests come through the same trackable system.
- 2. Review of contract processes in the City and standardization of contract approvals.
- 3. Created standard staff report template with common language and brief description to streamline reporting.

- 4. Uploading physical contracts, resolutions, agendas and other documents that are not currently available in a digital format and making them accessible through the City's website.
- 5. Increased capacity of City Manager to authorize purchases below a certain dollar amount.
- 6. Implemented transparency measures for financial information and civic processes, such as Sunshine Ordinance.
- 7. Added online engagement options for community members to provide feedback outside of meetings

## **Finance**

- 1. Implemented internal monthly budget and fiscal performance checklists for each department to ensure careful review of monthly budget activity.
- 2. Designated a Budget Lead for each department to coordinate report reviews and financial reporting.
- 3. Published a "Budget-At-A-Glance" document that summarizes relevant budget information and increases accessibility and transparency.
- 4. Upgraded cashiering system to integrate with current financial management solution for more efficient information tracking.
- 5. Completed cross-training in Revenue Services so that more employees were trained in multiple functions, which improves functionality and customer service.
- 3. Improvements to the City Yard that better address storm water pollution, maximize the facility's limited space and provide more secure private storage.

### **Human Resources**

- 1. New Hire Onboarding Checklist for the first full year of employment to track follow-up with new hires to address concerns/issues and facilitate.
- 2. Development of onboarding PowerPoint that will be available on the intranet for use and reference by new hires.
- 3. Tracking of part-time, temporary and retired annuitant employees and development of notification system to alert hiring departments to hours limits.
- 4. Tracking of and reporting performance evaluation due dates to departments.
- 5. Tracking of grievances and appeals to collect information about outcomes, mediators, ALJ's, etc.
- 6. Improving organization and communication around training programs (considering development of semiannual training publication and designation of department training liaisons).
- 7. Development and delivery of contracts processing training
- 8. Transitioned from the City's current risk pool to a more efficient and regionally appropriate pool that results in comprehensive coverage, maximized risk smoothing due to increased geographic and member diversity and market leverage for competitive rates.

## **Information Technology**

1. Initiated City website redesign based on website use data and analytics, which increases accessibility of information and user experience.

2.	Outsourcing broadcast services to receive cost savings, gain more efficient use of staff time and reduce use of overtime hours.
3.	Renegotiated City's telecommunications data plan for cost savings and more appropriate coverage.





John Nalbandian University of Kansas Robert O'Neill, Jr.

International City/County Management Association

J. Michael Wilkes City of Olathe, Kansas **Amanda Kaufman** City of Marion, Iowa

# Contemporary Challenges in Local Government: Evolving Roles and Responsibilities, Structures, and Processes

Editor's Note: The International City/County Management Association (ICMA) celebrates the 100th anniversary of its founding in 2014. This article is the first of several that will appear during the next year about the councilmanager plan to commemorate ICMA's 100th anniversary.

Three contemporary leadership challenges face local governments today. The first encourages department heads to more actively work the intersection between political and administrative arenas. The second promotes collaborative work, synchronizing city and county boundaries with problems that have no jurisdictional homes. The third argues that citizen engagement is no longer optional—it is imperative—and that connecting engagement initiatives to traditional political values and governing processes is an important mark of successful community building. These three leadership challenges stem from a widening gap between the arenas of politics and administration—that is, between what is politically acceptable in public policy making and what is administratively sustainable. The gap is fueled by conflicting trends experienced locally and common internationally. Failure to bridge this gap between political acceptability and administrative sustainability results in decreasing legitimacy for governing institutions and increasing challenges.

The purpose of this article is to stimulate conversations around contemporary leadership challenges in local government. The challenges that we identify represent adaptations in local government roles and responsibilities, structures, and processes in response to a changing local government

environment. Most prominent in that environment is the increasingly difficult task of connecting what is "politically acceptable" and "administratively sustainable"-politics and administration. The difficulty is

The purpose of this article is to stimulate conversations around contemporary leadership challenges in local government.

accentuated as the widespread trends of administrative modernization and the politics of identity are experienced locally.

We begin by describing the forces of modernization and the politics of identity and how their juxtaposition widens the gap between what is politically acceptable and administratively sustainable. Then, we briefly discuss bridging the gap as the fundamental prerequisite for effective governance. That is followed by identification and discussion of how local governments are attempting to bridge the gap and the challenges encountered. We conclude with practical and conceptual guidance for the local government professional administrator.

## Administrative Modernization and the Politics of Identity

In the mid-2000s, the International City/County Management Association (ICMA) launched a project to identify practices that professional local government administrators bring to their communities. The findings reinforced the chief administrative officer's role working in and bridging the gap between the arenas of politics and administration (Keene et al. 2007). Among the six practices identified, one in particular conveys an expectation that city and county managers should become more involved with community partners, including elected officials, to facilitate community and enable democracy: Professionals help build community and support democratic and community values.

Professional managers help build community by facilitating partnerships among sectors, groups and individuals. They work with informal

> groups of people as well as established groups, organizations, and other governing institutions. Local government professionals—through their values, training, and experience—support

John Nalbandian is a faculty member in the School of Public Affairs and Administration at the University of Kansas. E-mail: nalband@ku.edu

Robert O'Neill, Jr., is executive director of the International City/County Management Association. E-mail: roneill@icma.org

J. Michael Wilkes is city manager of Olathe, Kansas,

E-mail: jmwilkes@olatheks.org

Amanda Kaufman is assistant to the city manager in Marion, Iowa, and served as an ICMA Local Government Management Fellow with the city of Olathe, Kansas, E-mail: amanda.kaufman1@gmail.com

Public Administration Review. Vol. 73, Iss. 4, pp. 567-574. © 2013 by The American Society for Public Administration. DOI: 10.1111/puar.12059.

democratic values and work effectively toward inclusion, accountability, and transparency. Developing effective partnerships with elected officials and generating community engagement are as important as the efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery in helping to build a sense of community (Keene et al. 2007, 38).

Today, the professional manager's role in policy making and community building is widely accepted, yet role expectations once again have garnered attention. Contemporary city and county managers find their facilitating or bridging role in community building complicated by two contemporary forces that we refer to as "administrative modernization" and the "politics of identity" (Nalbandian 2005). These forces extend well beyond individual communities, informing discussions of international relations. James Rosenau suggests their breadth and significance: "the best way to grasp world affairs today requires viewing them as an endless series of distant proximities in which the forces pressing for greater globalization and those inducing greater localization interactively play themselves out" (2003, 4). We contend that understanding these trends is critical to comprehending the serious challenge posed by bridging the gap between political acceptability and administrative sustainability in local communities. Failure to make the connection means that public concerns are unattended or addressed ineffectively, and lack of trust and legitimacy in government results (Pew Research Center 2012).

#### **Administrative Modernization**

According to Rosenau, modernization includes these elements:

- A communications revolution that rapidly transmits ideas, information, images, and money across continents
- A transportation revolution that hastens the boundaryspanning flow of people and goods
- An organizational revolution that has shifted the flow of authority, influence, and power, beyond traditional boundaries
- An economic revolution that has redirected the flow of goods, services, capital, and ownership (2003, 51).

The impact of these revolutions is to create more administrative homogeneity throughout the world than previous generations saw. The literature on modernization and globalization suggests two characteristics that affect the design and practice of contemporary public administration. First, hard data drive out soft data when there is confidence in an understanding of cause and effect in production processes (Thompson 1967). One would not think today of assessing property without the benefit of electronic databases and statistical formulas, and actuarial tables are essential to pension calculations, for example. Second, the efficiency goal of administrative practice is to increase quality and reduce variation in

outputs (Deming 1986) at the cheapest cost. Standardization and centralization—based on confidence in cause-and-effect relationships in production processes grounded in empirical evidence—are designed to produce highquality efficiency.

## **Politics of Identity**

Simultaneously, increasing quality and reducing variation through standardization

Problems that formerly might have been seen in relatively simple terms are now subject to complex statistical analyses growing out of increasingly large databases, often producing alternatives qualified by probabilities.

and evidence-based best practices challenges what is spontaneous, unique, and experiential because these are sources of variation. The second trend, the politics of identity, is the desire to hold on to variation and to place value on one's experiences and to differentiate oneself, one's group, or one's community from others. Today's emphasis on branding in local governments internationally reflects this desire to differentiate one jurisdiction from another to counter the modernizing pressures toward standardization; the desire to tell a community's story offers soft evidence that uniqueness—variation—is valued. Howard Gardner insightfully writes about storytelling and leadership by employing examples of compelling narratives that speak to the mind but reach for the heart (Gardner and Laskin 1995). According to Gardner, the most powerful stories are those that touch one's identity—who we were, who we are, and what we can become. Here are a couple of examples of the ways in which identity and associated emotions and beliefs play out in local policy issues:

- A set of three San Francisco suburban cities came to an agreement on shared fire and emergency response services based on discussions about efficiency and effectiveness. The stumbling block was whether the smaller jurisdictions would have to give up their logos on the equipment.1 This seems trivial, until one realizes that the logo symbolizes independence and a sense of community identity.
- The city of Owasso, Oklahoma, passed a resolution in 2002, declaring itself a "City of Character." The city's Character Initiative is overseen by Owasso's human resources department and a Character Council, composed of members from each sector in the community. The city focuses on a new character trait each month, and the Character Council helps promote it to the public. Banners throughout the community are changed to reflect the new monthly character trait, information is disseminated through utility bills, and a separate Web site promoting Owasso's Character Initiative has been developed (http://www. owassocharacter.org). Michele Dempster, the city's human resources director and character coordinator, believes that the majority of community members support this initiative and that bringing "character" into a community-wide discussion has reflected very positively on Owasso's identity.<sup>2</sup>

In the quest for identity, Gardner (1991) issues caution in introducing us to the concept of the "unschooled mind." The unschooled mind is driven by intuition and emotion that emanates from interests that touch one's self-regard. It is comparable to the allure of the "confirming mind," a human predisposition that seeks confirmation of one's views rather than challenges (Bialik 2012; Festinger 1957; Finkelstein, Whitehead, and Campbell 2008).

> In contrast, in the arena of administrative modernization, enhanced analytical capacity means more data, which reveals more complexity. Problems that formerly might have been seen in relatively simple terms are now subject to complex statistical analyses growing out of increasingly large databases, often producing alternatives qualified by probabilities. But the increasing sophistication of policy development and analysis is undermined by the

simplistic themes and symbols contained in today's political stories and campaigns, often anchored in an idyllic sense of a past dominated by images of "the way we never were" (Coontz 2000). The city and county manager and administrative staff work in the realm of data and analysis with sober concerns for what is administratively sustainable, while elected officials are working to develop what is politically acceptable within the often emotional context of community identity. The juxtaposition of the trends of modernization and identity accentuates and accelerates the gap.3 And we should remind ourselves of the proposition that connecting the two is a prerequisite for effective governance (Appleby 1949, 47).

## Leadership Challenges and Methodology

We have identified three leadership challenges associated with bridging the gap between what is administratively sustainable and what is politically acceptable.4 The three focus on roles and responsibilities, structures, and processes of local government, suggesting their scope and importance. We will identify them and then describe how they were selected.

We have identified three leadership challenges associated with bridging the gap between what is administratively sustainable and what is politically acceptable.

- Leadership Challenge 1: Create and reinforce roles and responsibilities below the position of city/county manager or chief administrative officer that bridge the gap while avoiding political alignment or administrative compromise.
- Leadership Challenge 2: Synchronize government jurisdictions and other structures of authority with problems to be solved, valuing networks, collaborative relationships, and skills.
- Leadership Challenge 3: Integrate citizen and other forms of engagement (planned and spontaneous, including social media) with traditional local government structures and processes.

We employed a variety of qualitative approaches to identify the challenges. These included in-depth discussions with the leadership team in the city of Olathe, Kansas; electronic consultation with a professional network of local government administrators and academicians created when the ICMA challenged its members to articulate the value that professional management contributes to local jurisdictions (Keene et al. 2007); and the personal and professional experiences of the team of authors, which incorporates a wide range of academic and professional local government perspectives.

We drew on the experiences of the Olathe, Kansas, leadership team to develop our leadership agenda. Engagement with the city of Olathe was stimulated by the Alliance for Innovation's request to identify contemporary challenges facing an innovative Midwestern city, and the Alliance suggested that we focus on Olathe. At the Alliance for Innovation's Big Ideas Conference in Fort Collins, Colorado, in the fall of 2011, we shared our findings alongside those from San Jose, California, and local governments in North Carolina.

The leadership team in Olathe consisted of the city manager, assistant city manager, eight department heads, and 11 of their immediate staff, including division managers. There were 21 people on the team in this city of approximately 125,000, located in the Kansas City metropolitan area. Olathe is a suburban community with a

history of growth. It is accustomed to professional government, has a stable council, and is regarded nationally among local government professionals and academicians as innovative. In the last decade, the city has seen significant growth in its immigrant population and, like other local jurisdictions, has found itself in a retrenchment mode for a couple of years.

We met with the leadership team on three occasions; the leadership challenges were identified as a result of these meetings. At the first meeting, we suggested to team members that bridging the gap between political acceptability and administrative sustainability is the fundamental prerequisite for effective governance. We asked

> team members to think about challenges that they face bridging this gap, and we agreed that the challenges could be grouped into three broad categories: roles and responsibilities, structures, and processes of governance. On the second and third occasions, a discussion was held with the leadership team further refining the challenge areas. Following these meetings and a literature review, the final versions of three challenges were established. We then asked leadership team members to

provide written examples of the challenges, which they provided to the authors by e-mail.

To test the validity of these three challenges among local government professionals more generally, we subjected them to national scrutiny. We invited a select group of 75 city and county managers, drawn from an ICMA professionalism task force (Keene et al. 2007), to comment on each of the leadership challenges. This group of city and county managers was sent the following phrasing for the challenge areas, with each sent in a separate e-mail:

Leadership Challenge 1: How to create and reinforce bridgebuilding roles and problem-oriented approaches without becoming politically aligned or administratively compromised; Leadership Challenge 2: How to synchronize government jurisdiction and other structures of authority with problems to be solved—imperative for collaborative relationships and skills; Leadership Challenge 3: How to incorporate citizen engagement (planned and spontaneous, including social media) with traditional local government structures and processes.

We received 13 responses. The first leadership challenge, focusing on roles and responsibilities, drew the most interest, with the responses suggesting that it is an emerging and controversial practice in local government. A discussion of each leadership challenge follows.

### Leadership Challenge 1: Roles and Responsibilities

Create and reinforce roles and responsibilities below the position of city/ county manager or chief administrative officer that bridge the gap while avoiding political alignment or administrative compromise.

City and county managers and some mayors are familiar with "working the gap" between administrative sustainability and political acceptability (Nalbandian 2001; Nalbandian and Nalbandian 2002, 2003; Svara 2009), and much has been written about the importance of council-staff effectiveness since initiation of the

council-manager form in the early twentieth century (Nalbandian and Portillo 2006). Today, most managers recognize this as part of their role. For example, in an e-mail correspondence (March 2, 2012), Jim Ley, former county manager of Sarasota County, Florida, asked philosophically, "Is it not our responsibility [city and county managers] to be the stewards of the system we manage, to teach where teaching is absent, to demand accountability to our fundamental values of governance ... Are we courageous enough to manage the narrative of the public good that is based in assuming such a role?" More directly, Ken Hampian, former city manager of San Luis Obispo, California, suggested that bridging the gap is a core requirement for many positions and that city (and county) managers must be the role models for department heads in this regard. Further, he suggested that some city (and county) managers "just don't get the more textured nature of the job and public and organizational leadership skills/attitudes needed today. They tend to work within a paradigm that is black and white (where staff, of course, is wearing the white hat and is protector of all that is good, just and honorable)" (e-mail correspondence, February 19, 2012).

As the gap increases—to a significant degree, attributable locally to

the tensions between administrative modernization and the politics of identity—the city and county manager's role as a "bridge builder" is accentuated, and he or she is likely to spend more time with the governing body and community members than in the past. This leaves less time for managers to translate political thinking into administrative problems to be solved for the benefit of staff. One important consequence is that department heads—who formerly earned respect for running their departments efficiently and effectively and producing policy-related information and recommendations—are now expected to move into the gap and to understand, respect, and contribute to the concept

of "political acceptability." According to O'Neill (2012), once in the gap, they are expected to broaden their mental maps to focus on common public problems such as economic development, safety and security, health care, education, and the environment, requiring an interdisciplinary, interdepartmental approach that may extend beyond the organization itself.

This effect was confirmed in our discussions with the Olathe leadership team and in the responses from the national respondents. Those department heads who today add value are not the ones who simply accept "politics" or who in the past have worked the gap to their own department's advantage. Instead, they understand the values trade-offs that are taking place, and they increasingly find themselves involved in the world of politics—which the manager can no longer shield them from—becoming sensitized to political dynamics, it is hoped, without being captured by them (Nalbandian 2001). Katy Simon, county manager of Washoe County, Nevada, made this point succinctly: "I believe considerable training and mentoring is needed to help department leaders successfully navigate the political landscape. Another angle on this issue is the perilous tendency of some department heads to coalesce with a single elected leader or a faction that expresses particular interest in their department ... this

can result in numerous meetings without the manager, information exchanges that do not include the manager or assistant manager, and the risk of engendering ill will with the other electeds" (e-mail correspondence, February 17, 2012).

Debra Figone, city manager of San Jose, California, added, "Department heads are agents of the city manager, not free agents; working in the gap to meet my expectations is imbedded in this principle" (e-mail correspondence, February 20, 2012). However, as Michael Wilkes, city manager of Olathe, Kansas, pointed out, because of the complex environment that administrative staff find themselves in (described in Leadership Challenge 2), the city or county manager must trust that department heads will act in concert with team goals and culture. This puts put the manager in a vulnerable position, risking that department heads will use their discretion in working the gap to increase their own power base and credibility, possibly at the manager's expense (e-mail correspondence, March 23, 2012). Simon alluded to this earlier.

While political capture or alliance requires caution as department heads move into the gap, the department head faces another

As the gap increases—to a

significant degree, attributable

locally to the tensions between

administrative modernization

and the politics of identity—the

city and county manager's role

as a "bridge builder" is accen-

tuated, and he or she is likely

to spend more time with the

governing body and community

members than in the past.

challenge: appearing to his or her staff as abandoning administrative and professional integrity, as well as standing up for his or her department employees. Figone reinforced this point when she observed that in San Jose, where there is acute fiscal stress, attention has shifted to pension obligations. She noted that the chiefs of the public safety departments are put in a particularly difficult position, as they must weigh their obligations as agents of the city manager with obligations to their staff. Likewise, Wilkes indicated that although ideological politics are filtering down to the local level with more evidence of uncompromising positions, it is not unusual for the city or county

manager to be challenged by an administrative cadre that (1) has become more specialized and discipline oriented and (2) at times is not ready to accept that a "reset" in local government is occurring (interview, September 7, 2011). In a subsequent correspondence, Wilkes continued to discuss this challenge: "The manager may intuitively know that a staff initiative is politically unacceptable at the same time that staff feels it is not only administratively sustainable, but an administrative imperative. These moments challenge the manager's ability to lead the organization, raising questions in staff's eyes regarding the manager's commitment to the organization and professionalism" (March 23, 2012).

### Leadership Challenge 2: Structures and Values

Synchronize government jurisdictions and other structures of authority with problems to be solved, valuing networks, collaborative relationships and skills.

A widening gap signifies the inability, reluctance, or lack of authority of government institutions to effectively deal with public problems (Pollitt and Bouckaert 2011; U.S. House of Representatives 2011). At the local level, the scope of the problems faced often extends beyond the jurisdiction's authority (Frederickson 2007;

Rosenau 2003). Classic cases involve policy issues such as economic development, transportation, the environment, and land use in metropolitan areas. Meanwhile, the desire for local identity, one of our dominant trends, and community branding may actually work against the integrated responses needed to solve problems that cross jurisdictions (Tschirhart, Christensen, and Perry 2005).

A classic response to challenges in which formal jurisdictional authority and problem dimensions are incongruent is the creation of regional public authorities (Dodge 2010). In these cases, the authority is legitimized in the law. Yet even here, the issue may be much more complex and go well beyond organizations that have legal authority. For example, dealing with issues of homelessness locally may involve not only government action that is grounded in the law but also the work of churches, nonprofits, and foundations—each of which has its own claim to legitimacy. Rosenau (2003) employs the concept of "structure of authority" to explain that any party may claim legitimacy, with some being able to elicit more compliant responses and engagement than others. He observes that beyond the law, authority may reside in expertise, tradition, and moral claims. The structure of authority concept is helpful in crafting the second challenge. Because no single entity, regardless of its source of legitimacy, can solve perplexing problems that extend beyond its boundary of legitimacy, the leadership challenge is how to assemble and coordinate various structures of authority into effective networks of responsibility and sources of service delivery (Feldman 2010; Frederickson 2007).

Meanwhile, the space between what is politically acceptable and administratively sustainable becomes a source of significant power. That is, as the gap widens, both established and emergent third parties can seize an opportunity to exercise influence previously reserved for those operating only within formal governing structures and processes. Data from the Urban Institute's National Center for Charitable Statistics show that from 1999 to 2009, the number of nonprofit organizations grew from 1,202,573 to 1,581,111, a 31.5 percent increase (Urban Institute n.d.). The proliferation of third parties such as foundations, nonprofits, private sector conveyors of services, and ad hoc advocacy groups has become commonplace, as have terms such as "governance," "the hollow state," "the extended state," "shared services," and "cross-sector partnerships" (Dubnick and Frederickson 2011; Kettl 2000; Mathur and Skelcher 2007; Milward and Provan 2000; Soni 2011).

Under these circumstances, bridging the gap requires an ability to manage networks of groups and actors. Thus, a corollary challenge within the second leadership challenge is an understanding that network management is different from managing within hierarchy (Agranoff and McGuire 2003; Bozeman 2007; Emerson, Nabatchi, and Balogh 2012; Getha-Taylor 2008; Goerdel 2006; Romzek, LeRoux, and Blackmar 2012; Silvia and McGuire 2010; Thomson and Perry 2006). Collaboration, with its attendant issues, is key to network management: What is the network's source of authority? Who should be involved? How will responsibility be assigned? How should participants deal with delegates who come to the table with different levels of discretion, as well as sector-based incentives that drive each structure of authority? The answers to these questions vary with the type of collaboration. For example, looking beyond the creation of a legal public authority, an alternative response may

resemble the creation of a shared services agreement like the one that the "Business Support Services" unit in Charlotte has consummated with surrounding county, municipal, state, and even federal agencies.

However, it is not uncommon for these leadership attempts to be rejected. For example, if networks include faith-based institutions, questions of freedom of religion and church and state may be raised. These types of entities and agreements connect to Figone's advice that we need to be clear about which structure is truly accountable even in networked worlds. In order to maintain public accountability in complex networks, Figone believes that stronger and more sophisticated leadership from elected and professional staff is needed (e-mail correspondence, February 20, 2012).

Also embedded in the second challenge is a crucial consideration focusing on the way nongovernmental structures of authority deal with public values such as representation, social equity, and individual rights, as well as efficiency (Andrews and Entwistle 2010; Warner 2011). An example can be seen in the Kresge Foundation's urban renewal initiative in Detroit. The Kresge Foundation has expended considerable sums of money to assist in renewal and redevelopment of Detroit. However, the fundamental question raised by some in Detroit is "who is running our city?" (Dolan 2011), with the implied question, "whose values will prevail?"

Summarizing the second challenge, the increasing emphasis on third-party governance raises issues of accountability to public values, government institutions, and processes and increases the challenge of coordinating and managing multiple independent initiatives in the absence of formal community-wide coordinating mechanisms. This brings us to the third leadership challenge.

## Leadership Challenge 3: Processes

The first challenge focused on roles and responsibilities, and the second challenge primarily on structure and values. The third addresses the issue of processes and the imperative of engaging parties with differing interests, authority, and motives.

Integrate citizen and other forms of engagement (planned and spontaneous, including social media) with traditional local government structures and processes.

Collaborative engagement, the focus of the third leadership challenge, is a mechanism that can be employed to coordinate disparate structures of authority, turning them into working networks. While this leadership challenge complements the second challenge, it should not be confused with it; the second challenge focuses on the importance of structure when working within a networked environment, while the third challenge's focus is collaborative engagement as a process.

Whether within a single jurisdiction or a network of independent structures of authority, one of the challenges associated with engagement is finding ways to successfully merge multiple sources of information and communication with traditional governing structures and processes (Bryer 2009; Cooper, Bryer, and Meek 2006; Fagotto and Fung 2009; Leighninger 2006). Because citizens are also stakeholders, we believe that if engagement is not integrated with

the processes of government institutions, the expressions of desired outcomes will not be weighed against a full array of public values. For example, governing bodies must engage the conflicts among values such as representation, efficiency, social equity, and individual rights (Nalbandian 2006)—all fundamental democratic values. Advocates, whether expressing their views in person or electronically, do not have the same obligations. The challenge is how to connect the issue-specific and passionate views of advocates, or the players in a network context in which there are

different missions, motivations, and identities, with the totality of democratic value considerations.

Mary Furtado, assistant manager in Catawba County, North Carolina, described this challenge: "The communication dilemma manifests itself in both extremes: comments/feedback that is so niche-specific that it belies a narrow view of government versus feedback that is so general in expression containing broad citizen sentiments and ungrounded in specifics as to not be useful for much at all. Then there is the problem that the confluence of input coming at government leaders may dilute its impact due to sheer volume. If the stream of citizen input is constant, it can become overwhelming and therefore easier to tune out some or all of it" (e-mail correspondence, March 6, 2012).

Social media highlights this challenge. Its popularity provides new opportunities for local governments to engage its citizens, but it also poses significant challenges. At an early juncture, we asked the Olathe leadership team about difficulties in producing valid and relevant information, given the prevalence of social media and other accessible information sources. The fact that the city's leadership team includes a communication and engagement manager indicates the central importance that Olathe places on navigating this challenge, especially as it links to the organization's emphasis on performance management. Tim Danneberg, the communication and engagement manager, pointed to the ease with which people can compile a breadth and depth of information on a topic today via the Internet; the validity and quality of the information, however, is another matter, and one that the city must address:

In an era that prides itself on data-driven decisions, much of the information available via the Internet is instead opinion, perception, rhetoric and supposition rather than hard, factual data. ... Everyone has an opinion and the opinions have been amplified in their velocity, intensity and frequency by the Internet. Politicians and other decision makers can now continuously and instantaneously consume mass quantities of information that strengthen and often morph an array of opinions.

Olathe's performance measurement initiatives serve well in meeting this challenge. To combat the overload of available information, factual data must be continually collected, analyzed and reported. ... Data must be provided not only to those that make the decisions but also to those who may try to influence decision makers. The provision of quality,

The challenge is how to connect the issue-specific and passionate views of advocates, or the players in a network context in which there are different missions, motivations, and identities, with the totality of democratic value considerations.

relevant data may diminish the desire to search for answers on an Internet overloaded with information and opinions. (e-mail correspondence, September 11, 2011)

Put into the context of bridging the gap, the issue with citizen engagement, whether electronic or in person, is how the communication transaction affects either political acceptability or administrative sustainability. Additionally, and perhaps most importantly, is the question of whether the engagement

serves as a bridge between the two.

#### **Conclusions**

We have argued that a fundamental and widening gap exists between what is politically acceptable and what is administratively sustainable and that connecting the two is a prerequisite for effective governance. The gap is accentuated by two global trends: administrative modernization, which has a homogenizing influence on individuals, administrative processes, and standards and on communities themselves, and the opposing trend, the search to create, maintain, and preserve a sense of political identity and community. These trends operate independently and often simultaneously and can conflict. We identified three leadership challenges that must be met by local government managers if they are to bridge this gap and lead effectively in this environment.

Several conclusions emerge. First, we can easily fit the challenges into fundamental elements of local government—roles and responsibilities, structures, and processes—suggesting important and lasting change. And we suggest that effective managers, elected officials, and department heads of the future will embrace the challenges and understand the impact that bridging the gap has on trust and legitimacy. But in order to be effective, understanding must be translated into behavior that is organizationally valued—reflected in strategic thinking about human resource management and then in job descriptions, performance appraisals, and incentive systems.

Second, we have incorporated network management into the leadership challenges. Networks imply boundaries, and we suspect that the concept of "boundary management" may add an element to this challenge. The idea of matching problems to be solved with structures of authority implies that for some problems, boundaries can be strong and fixed, while for others, boundaries must be permeable. "Managing boundaries" provides a conceptual lens through which we can view decision making and role expectations. An internal organizational analogy may be useful here. In some cases, a department head may draw boundaries rigidly and contrast the department's interests and responsibilities with those of other departments. But on other occasions, the same department may have to drop its defining boundary and adopt a larger or smaller one to address a relevant problem. The implication here is that managers must exercise judgment about the permeability of the boundaries that define responsibilities and competence.

Third, while the city and county manager's role has evolved gradually into one broadly conceptualized as facilitating community and

enabling democracy, department head roles and responsibilities are changing sharply: from running the department efficiently and providing policy choices and recommendations to becoming an ally and team member in the manager's cadre as he or she works the gap. The principal-agent concept that city manager Debra Figone used to describe the relationship between the city or county manager and department heads is evolving. Many department heads now work in an environment in which structures of authority and geographic jurisdiction are disarticulated. And they are exercising more independent discretion in their search for effectiveness. While we have described an expectation that department heads move vertically into the gap, they also work horizontally, both interdepartmentally and between jurisdictions and sectors. One suspects that the principalagent relationship in this environment is found not in the military metaphor of a command structure but instead in the metaphor of a symphony leader (Pink 2005).

Finally, within each challenge is evidence of a common paradox. While each challenge expands the boundaries and the actors and

entities involved in governance, new collective initiatives that operate without an influential role for government institutions may shortchange consideration of a comprehensive set of democratic values. The first challenge that we identified creates expectations that department heads will regard efficiency as just one in a broader range of political values to be considered in public policy making. In this regard, a key responsibility of the city and county manager is modeling the engagement of conflicting values in a way that preserves administrative integrity yet acknowledges and builds on what is politically acceptable. This issue of

political values is noteworthy in the second challenge because we do cannot expect mission-based nonprofits or profit-driven private sector actors to embrace a full range of democratic values. Regarding the third challenge, while we commonly associate citizen engagement with democratic values, the association should be isolated to the value of representation. There is no guarantee that engagement will embrace the values of efficiency, equity, and/or the individual rights of others. It is passion reflecting one value or a combination of values that energizes the engagement process.

The comprehensive inclusion of democratic values such as representation, efficiency, social equity, and individual rights may be absent as we explore the three challenges and administrative responses. These values are fundamental to the democratic social contract, and they are embedded within the structures and processes of the same democratic government institutions that are losing credibility as the gap widens. As we move toward a governance model of dealing with issues of collective concern, it remains to be seen whether nongovernment actors or partnerships in which government does not play a prominent role can enact a comprehensive set of values and accept responsibility for enabling democracy.

The insights on contemporary leadership challenges facing local governments that emerged from this research provide a foundation for public administration scholars and practitioners to further explore the future manager's roles and responsibilities, as well as

structures and processes of governance. As the base of research on these contemporary leadership challenges grows, we anticipate that further guidance can be provided to local government professionals who face these challenges in their daily work.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors express their appreciation to the city of Olathe's leadership team for its time and effort helping develop the leadership challenges described in this article. The original version of this article was prepared for the Alliance for Innovation, Big Ideas Conference, Fort Collins, Colorado, 2011. In addition, many of the ideas for the section on administrative modernization were introduced in Nalbandian (2005).

#### **Notes**

While each challenge expands

the boundaries and the actors

and entities involved in gov-

ernance, new collective initia-

tives that operate without an

influential role for government

institutions may shortchange

consideration of a comprehen-

sive set of democratic values.

- 1. Conversation with Pat Martel, city manager, Daley City, California, April 20, 2011, Lawrence, Kansas.
- Phone conversation with Michele Dempster, human resources director and character coordinator, Owasso, Oklahoma, October 25, 2012.
  - For further reading on administrative modernization and politics of identity, see Friedman (1999).
  - We present the three basic challenges here. Many challenges that fall within each of the three categories are available in the precursor to this paper available at http://transformgov.org/en/learning/Big\_Ideas#6. As an example, under the roles and responsibilities challenge, a more specific question is, "How do emerging roles and responsibilities for bridging the gap affect confidence that elected officials and administrative staff have in the city manager and department heads? Specifically, as department heads begin to move into the gap and gain more understanding of political acceptability will their role undermine confidence of those who work for them? Will

credibility be questioned by elected officials, and what implications could this have on the level of confidence placed in the organization's leaders?"

#### References

Agranoff, Robert, and Michael McGuire. 2003. Collaborative Public Management: New Strategies for Local Governments. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.

Andrews, Rhys, and Tom Entwistle. 2010. Does Cross-Sectoral Partnership Deliver? An Empirical Exploration of Public Service Effectiveness, Efficiency, and Equity. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory 20(3): 679-701.

Appleby, Paul H. 1949. Policy and Administration. University: University of Alabama Press.

Bialik, Carl. 2012. Americans Stumble on Math in Big Issues. Wall Street Journal,

Bozeman, Barry. 2007. Public Values and Public Interest: Counterbalancing Economic Individualism. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.

Bryer, Thomas A. 2009. Explaining Responsiveness in Collaboration: Administrator and Citizen Role Perceptions. Public Administration Review 69(2): 271-83.

Coontz, Stephanie. 2000. The Way We Never Were: American Families and the Nostalgia Trap. 2nd ed. New York: Basic Books.

Cooper, Terry L., Thomas A. Bryer, and Jack W. Meek. 2006. Citizen-Centered Collaborative Public Management. Special issue, Public Administration Review 66: 76-88.

Deming, W. Edwards. 1986. Out of the Crisis. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Dodge, William R. 2010. Regional Charters: The Future of Local Government. Special issue, Public Administration Review 70: 143-50.

Dolan, Matthew. 2011. Revival Bid Pits Detroit vs. Donor. Wall Street Journal, July 23.

- Dubnick, Melvin J., and H. George Frederickson. 2011. Public Accountability: Performance Measurement, the Extended State, and the Search for Trust. Washington, DC: Kettering Foundation and National Academy of Public Administration.
- Emerson, Kirk, Tina Nabatchi, and Stephen Balogh. 2012. An Integrative Framework for Collaborative Governance. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory 22(1): 1-29.
- Fagotto, Elena, and Archon Fung. 2009. Sustaining Public Engagement: Embedded Deliberation in Local Communities. East Hartford, CT: Everyday Democracy.
- Feldman, Martha S. 2010. Managing the Organization of the Future. Special issue, Public Administration Review 70: 159-63.
- Festinger, Leon. 1957. A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Finkelstein, Sydney, Jo Whitehead, and Andrew Campbell. 2008. Think Again: Why Good Leaders Make Bad Decisions and How to Keep It from Happening to You. Boston: Harvard Business Press.
- Frederickson, H. George. 2007. Bureaucrats without Borders: Public Management and the End of Geography. Donald C. Stone Lecture presented at the Annual Conference of the American Society for Public Administration, Washington, DC, March 26.
- Friedman, Thomas L. 1999. The Lexus and the Olive Tree. New York: Farrar, Straus
- Gardner, Howard. 1991. The Unschooled Mind: How Children Think and How Schools Should Teach. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, Howard, and Emma Laskin. 1995. Leading Minds: An Anatomy of Leadership. New York: Basic Books.
- Getha-Taylor, Heather. 2008. Identifying Collaborative Competencies. Review of Public Personnel Administration 28(2): 103-19.
- Goerdel, Holly T. 2006. Taking Initiative: Proactive Management and Organizational Performance in Networked Environments. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory 16(3): 351-67.
- Keene, James, John Nalbandian, Robert O'Neill, Jr., Shannon Portillo, and James Svara. 2007. How Professionals Can Add Value to Their Communities and Organizations. Public Management 89(3): 32-39.
- Kettl, Donald F. 2000. The Transformation of Governance: Globalization, Devolution, and the Role of Government. Public Administration Review 60(6): 488-97.
- Leighninger, Matt. 2006. The Next Form of Democracy: How Expert Rule Is Giving Way to Shared Governance—and Why Politics Will Never Be the Same. Nashville, TN: Vanderbilt University Press.
- Mathur, Navdeep, and Chris Skelcher. 2007. Evaluating Democratic Performance: Methodologies for Assessing the Relationship between Network Governance and Citizens. Public Administration Review 67(2): 228-37.
- Milward, H. Brinton, and Keith G. Provan. 2000. Governing the Hollow State. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory 10(2): 359-79.
- Nalbandian, John. 2001. The City Manager as Political Leader. Public Management 83(3): 7-12.
- -. 2005. Professionals and the Conflicting Forces of Administrative Modernization and Civic Engagement. American Review of Public Administration 35(4): 311-26.

- -. 2006. Politics and Administration in Local Government. International Journal of Public Administration 29(12): 1049-63.
- Nalbandian, John, and Carol Nalbandian. 2002. Contemporary Challenges in Local Government. Public Management 84(11): 6-11.
- 2003. Meeting Today's Challenges: Competencies for the Contemporary Local Government Professional. Public Management 85(4): 11-15.
- Nalbandian, John, and Shannon Portillo. 2006. Introduction: Council-Manager Relations through the Years. Public Management 88(6). http://webapps.icma.org/ pm/8806/ [accessed April 3, 2013].
- O'Neill, Robert J., Jr. 2012. A Decade of Living Dangerously. Governing, January 25. http://www.governing.com/columns/mgmt-insights/col-decade-fiscalchallenge-local-government-innovation-creativity.html [accessed April 2, 2013].
- Pew Research Center for the People and the Press. 2012. Growing Gap in Favorable Views of Federal, State Governments. April 26. http://www.people-press. org/2012/04/26/growing-gap-in-favorable-views-of-federal-state-governments/ [accessed April 2, 2013].
- Pink, Daniel. 2005. A Whole New Mind: Why Right-Brainers Will Rule the World. New York: Riverhead Books.
- Pollitt, Christopher, and Geert Bouckaert. 2011. Public Management Reform: A Comparable Analysis—New Public Management, Governance, and the New-Weberian State. 3rd ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Romzek, Barbara S., Kelly LeRoux, and Jeannette M. Blackmar. 2012. A Preliminary Theory of Informal Accountability among Network Organizational Actors. Public Administration Review 72(3): 442-53.
- Rosenau, James N. 2003. Distant Proximities: Dynamics beyond Globalization. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Silvia, Chris, and Michael McGuire. 2010. Leading Public Sector Networks: An Empirical Examination of Integrative Leadership Behaviors. Leadership Quarterly 21(2): 264-77.
- Soni, Vidu. 2011. A General Framework for Understanding the 21st Century Public Sector Organizations. International Journal of Public Administration 34(1-2): 76-83.
- Svara, James H., ed. 2009. The Facilitative Leader in City Hall: Reexamining the Scope and Contributions. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Thompson, James D. 1967. Organizations in Action: The Social Science Bases of Administrative Theory. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Thomson, Ann Marie, and James L. Perry. 2006. Collaboration Processes: Inside the Black Box. Special issue, Public Administration Review 66: 20-32.
- Tschirhart, Mary, Robert K. Christensen, and James L. Perry. 2005. The Paradox of Branding and Collaboration. Public Performance and Management Review 29(1):
- Urban Institute, National Center for Charitable Statistics. n.d. Number of Nonprofit Organizations in the United States, 1999-2009. http://nccsdataweb.urban.org/ PubApps/profile1.php?state=US [accessed February 20, 2012].
- U.S. House of Representatives. Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. 2011. Rightsizing the Federal Workforce. May 26. http://oversight.house.gov/ hearing/rightsizing-the-federal-workforce/ [accessed April 2, 2013].
- Warner, Mildred E. 2011. Club Goods and Local Government: Questions for Planners. Journal of the American Planning Association 77(2): 155-66.

## 1. PURPOSE

The City of Manhattan Beach ("City") is committed to transparency, open government and providing the public with timely and wide-ranging access to its meetings, written records and information.

This Sunshine Policy is the culmination of the work initiated by the City's Ad Hoc Open Government Subcommittee which was established in order to improve transparency, openness and accessibility. From 2011 to 2013, the Ad Hoc Open Government Subcommittee held multiple public input meetings to discuss many open government best practices. Through the research conducted, the Ad Hoc Open Government Subcommittee created and revised numerous Open Government Initiatives, and developed an Open Government Initiatives Matrix. In some instances, the City Council directed staff to go above and beyond the minimum requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act (Brown Act). In other instances (e.g. Appendix A, No. 2), City Council directed staff to fully comply with the Brown Act.

The purpose of this policy is to compile the work of the Ad Hoc Open Government Subcommittee into one cohesive policy with the goal of ensuring the public has easier access to City government, so that they may be more informed about what their City is doing, and so that they may be involved in a more meaningful and knowledgeable way.

## 2. FINDINGS

The Manhattan Beach City Council finds as follows:

- A. The Ralph M. Brown Act states: "The people of this State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them."
- B. It is the City's duty to serve the public and to accommodate those who wish to obtain information about or participate in the process of making decisions by providing comment and input, prior to any official decision.
- C. Elected City officials, commissions, boards, advisory bodies and other agencies of the City exist to conduct the people's business. This policy is intended to assure that the deliberations of these bodies and the City's operations are open to the public.
- D. Each member of the public is afforded the following: the ability to attend City Council meetings and provided an opportunity to directly address the council "before or during" consideration of an agenda item. In those rare and unusual circumstances where the business of government may be conducted behind closed doors, those circumstances must be carefully and narrowly defined to prevent any abuse.
- E. This policy is intended in part to clarify and supplement the Ralph M. Brown Act and the California Public Records Act to assure that the people of the City of Manhattan Beach can be fully informed and thereby retain control over the instruments of local government in their City.

## 3. DEFINITIONS

Unless defined herein, the definitions in the Ralph M. Brown Act and the California Public Records Act and related laws shall govern.

## 4. SUNSHINE INITIATIVES

The City of Manhattan Beach goes above and beyond the minimum requirements of the Brown Act. The following initiatives reflect the work of the Ad Hoc Open Government Subcommittee as well as additional implemented Sunshine initiatives:

## A. Public Forums, Hearings and Meetings.

The City Council has adopted the attached Meeting Management Resolution (Resolution No. 16-0049), or its successor, amends and restates the rules of order for the conduct of City Council meetings and includes a number of Sunshine Initiatives, including:

- a. **Public Comment** Provides the public an early opportunity to comment on any agenda item, or non-agenda item within the subject matter jurisdiction of City Council.
- b. **Planning Commission Quasi-Judicial Decisions** Provides the public early notification of Planning Commission Quasi-Judicial Decisions.
- c. **Forecast Agenda and Future Discussion Items** Provides the public early notification of future City Council Agenda items.

(Resolution 16-0049; Appendix A, No. 9, No. 10, No. 23 and No. 31).

## 1. City Council Agenda and Agenda Packet Noticing and Distribution Timeframes:

- a. Posting a copy of the agenda in a location freely accessible to the public 24 hours a day, no later than six days before the date of the meeting, and shall specify the time and location of the regular meeting (Appendix A, No. 1) and;
- b. All agendas shall be posted on the bulletin boards located outside of City Hall and the bulletin boards at the Joslyn Community Center, the Manhattan Heights Community and on the City's website no later than six days before the date of the meeting. Complete agenda packets for each body shall be made available at the office of the City Clerk, the Manhattan Beach Police Department, the Joslyn Community Center and the Manhattan Beach Public Library as well as posted on the City's website, to the extent fiscally and technologically feasible, no later than six days before the date of the meeting, and shall be available for immediate public inspection at the locations listed above during normal business hours,

except for the Manhattan Beach Police Department which will be available 24 hours a day, seven days a week (Resolution 16-0049) and;

- c. All agendas and agenda packets of every regular City Council meeting shall be available to the public by an email subscription through the City's email notification (E-Notify) system (Appendix A, No. 1, No. 19 and No. 26). Such service shall be provided free of charge and shall be provided to the subscriber until the request for the service is cancelled by the subscriber or the email address is no longer valid. The email shall be sent at the time of the posting of the agenda for the meeting.
- d. By reference, the attached Resolution 16-0049 or its successor, City Council meeting agendas shall include a tentative agenda forecast of upcoming City Council meetings and items that need to be agendized for future meetings, Consent Calendar section (Resolution 16-0049; Appendix A, No. 4, No. 11, No. 12, No. 13, and No. 28).
- e. When applicable, a comprehensive public outreach section is now incorporated into the new staff report format. This new format includes a Public Outreach/Interest section which will be utilized to discuss planned outreach efforts that have occurred with various stakeholders (Appendix A, No. 14) and;
- f. Documents provided to the City Clerk after the posting of an agenda will be distributed to the City Council and hard copies will be made available for the public at the City Council meeting (Resolution 16-0049).
- g. All agendas of every quasi-judicial body shall be available to the public by an email subscription through the City's email notification (E-Notify) system (Appendix A, No. 1). Such service shall be provided free of charge and shall be provided to the subscriber until the request for the service is cancelled by the subscriber or the email address is no longer valid. The email shall be sent at the time of the posting of the agenda for the meeting.
- h. Early notification of recent Planning Commission decisions will be posted on the City's website and distributed through the City's E-Notify system (Appendix A, No. 31).

Notwithstanding any other provision of this policy, the inability of an agenda subscriber to timely receive the agenda or agenda-related material via the City's E-Notify system pursuant to this section, shall not constitute grounds for invalidation of the actions of the body taken at the meeting for which the agenda or the agenda-related material was not timely received.

## 2. Closed Session Language, Settlement Transparency.

This policy will provide more information to the public regarding the language on Closed Session agendas pertaining to litigation matters and settlement agreements. The language is listed below:

- a. **Existing Litigation -** The City will provide additional information to describe closed sessions concerning existing litigation to adequately inform the public of the nature of the litigation.
- b. **Anticipated Litigation -** The City will provide additional information as to the existing facts and circumstances to describe closed sessions concerning anticipated litigation.
- c. Settlement Agreements Proposed settlements of litigation will be placed on the open session portion of City Council meeting agendas for Council action except where the City Council finds, based upon the advice of legal counsel, that open discussion would prejudice the position of the City.

## 3. Minutes.

a. Each body covered by the Brown Act shall record the minutes for each meeting convened under the provisions of the Brown Act. The format of the minutes for City Council meetings will be action minutes (Appendix A, No. 8). The draft action minutes of each City Council and Planning Commission meetings shall be available for inspection and copying upon request within the shortest possible time after the meeting (Appendix A, No. 7). In addition, the City shall also provide closed captioning of all City Council meetings and Planning Commission meetings (excluding Closed Sessions) for the hearing impaired (Appendix A, No. 22).

## B. Knowledge, Acquisition and Information Accessibility.

## 1. Cell Phone and Electronic Device Policy.

- a. City Councilmembers, Commissioners and Board Members shall not use electronic devices at any time during a City Council, Commission or Board meeting, with the exception of electronic tablets used for accessing City Council, Commission or Board agendas and reports (and relative subject matter notes using City-sponsored software).
- b. The foregoing limitation shall not apply to receipt of telephone calls or text messages from family members in the event of an urgent family matter. The City Councilmember, Commissioner or Board Member wishing to respond to such a message during the meeting shall do so during a recess or shall request to be excused from the meeting to place the return call or text in a manner that does not disrupt the meeting.

## 2. Technology and Transparency.

a. The City shall also receive public comment on its website on agendas, topics and issues within the City's jurisdiction and related to official City business. The purpose of receiving public comment through the City's website is to expand the City's civic engagement efforts in order to reach out and obtain comments and feedback from a broader segment of the City's population. The City will also use its website and internet based platforms to disseminate important information to the public. The City will employ multiple internet based platforms to receive public comment, feedback, ideas and suggestions (Appendix A, No. 15, No. 20, No. 21, No. 30, and No. 33). These internet based platforms will be made available on the City's website and may include but are not limited to:

- 1. Facebook.
- 2. Twitter.
- 3. Nixle.
- 4. Open City Hall.
- 5. Information Memos.
- 6. Budget Transparency Platform.
- 7. GovQA (Internet based, public records request portal used for submitting and reviewing Public Records Requests and any corresponding documents).

The City will make all reasonable efforts to ensure these services will be available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, excluding any software or hardware failure which the City has taken customary precautions.

- b. A video and audio recording of each City Council meeting shall also be recorded and made available for inspection and copying upon request within the shortest possible time after the meeting. Any additional meetings held by bodies covered under the Brown Act conducted outside of the City Council chambers, at nearby City facilities, will be broadcast to the extend where technologically feasible (Appendix A, No. 5 and No. 6).
- c. The City will put forth its best effort to utilize the latest advancements in technology, where financially and technologically feasible, to improve transparency and increase open government, including but not limited to Granicus Legistar and Live Manager, or other electronic meeting management software or technology (Appendix A, No. 16, No. 17 and No. 18).

## **5. BUDGET PROCESS**

This policy incorporates new open government measures regarding the City's budget process, going above and beyond what is legally required. Community budget meetings will be held prior to the creation of the City's budget to assess spending priorities for the coming fiscal year. The City will also provide quarterly budget updates regarding the City revenues and expenditures in conjunction with the online Budget Transparency Platform to increase financial transparency and public oversight. The budget process will also include a review of performance measures, and implement revised performance measures when necessary to meet the long-term strategic goals established by the City (Appendix A, No. 21 and No. 29).

## 6. TRAINING

Annual training will be provided to Councilmembers, Commissioners and City staff on the Brown Act, California Public Records Act and conflicts of interest. The City Attorney's Office will provide training. (Appendix A, No. 3, No. 34 and No. 35).

## 7. CONFERENCE AND TRAVEL REPORTS

Per Assembly Bill 1234 (AB 1234), City Councilmembers are required to provide a report regarding any City related travel or conferences they attended in their capacity as an elected official. City Councilmembers must provide this report at the first regular City Council meeting after returning from their travels.

This policy also requires the City Manager and all other senior City staff members to provide a report on any City related travel or conferences they attended, going above and beyond the requirements of AB 1234. These reports will be incorporated into an Information Memo distributed through the City Manager's Weekly Update that will be posted to the City's website and sent out through the City's E-Notify system (Appendix A, No. 24, No. 25 and No. 32).

## 8. PUBLIC RECORDS ACT

Release of public records by a body or by any department, whether for inspection of the original or by providing a copy, shall be governed by the Public Records Act in any particulars not addressed by this policy (Appendix A, No. 27). In addition, the City has supplemented the Public Records Act with its own Public Records Act Protocol.