

# MASTER APPLICATION FORM CLERK'S OFFICE

CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

2014 JUN -9 AM II: 20

Office Use Only
Date Submitted; 00/09/2014
Received By: //
F&G Check Submitted: ///A-

Shade Hotel, 1221 N Valley Drive, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 Project Address Lot 2, Block 97, Manhattan Beach Division #2 Legal Description **Downtown Commercial** Downtown Commercial Area District III General Plan Designation Zoning Designation Area District For projects requiring a Coastal Development Permit, select one of the following determinations<sup>1</sup>: Project located in Appeal Jurisdiction Project <u>not</u> located in Appeal Jurisdiction X Public Hearing Required (due to UP, Var., Major Development (Public Hearing required) Minor Development (Public Hearing, if requested) etc.) No Public Hearing Required Submitted Application (check all that apply) (X) Appeal to PC/PPIC/BBA(CC) ( ) Use Permit (Residential) ) Coastal Development Permit ) Use Permit (Commercial) ) Environmental Assessment ) Use Permit Amendment ) Minor Exception ) Variance ) Subdivision (Map Deposit)4300 ( ) Public Notification Fee / \$85 ) Subdivision (Tentative Map) ( ) Park/Rec Quimby Fee 4425 ) Subdivision (Final) ( ) Lot Merger/Adjustment/\$15 rec. fee\_ ) Subdivision (Lot Line Adjustment) ( ) Other Fee Summary: Account No. 4225 (calculate fees on reverse) Pre-Application Conference: Yes No Date: Fee: Amount Due: \$ <u>500,</u>00 (less Pre-Application Fee if submitted within past 3 months) Date Paid: \_\_\_\_\_ Cashier: \_ Receipt Number: \_\_\_\_ Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Information Nathaniel Hubbard Name 1300 N Ardmore Ave, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 Mailing Address Resides across Ardmore Ave from Shade Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Relationship to Property 310 545 4632 natehubz@mac.com Nathaniel Hubbard Contact Person (include relation to applicant/appellant) Phone number / e-mail 1300 N Ardmore Ave, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 Address, 3103451301 Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Signature Complete Project Description- including any demolition (attach additional pages if necessary) See attached letter for appeal description.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An Application for a Coastal Development Permit shall be made prior to, or concurrent with, an application for any other permit or approvals required for the project by the City of Manhattan Beach Municipal Code. (Continued on reverse)

## **OWNER'S AFFIDAVIT**

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I/We	Nathaniel Hubbard		boing duly owers
depos the fo	se and say that I am/we are the owner(s) of the proporegoing statements and answers herein contained all respects true and correct to the best of my/our known and the correct to th	erty involved in this ap and the information ho owledge and belief(s).	being duly sworn, pplication and that erewith submitted
	ture of Property Owner(s) – (Not Owner in Escrow or Lesse aniel Hubbard	e)	
Print I	Vame		
1300	N Ardmore Ave, Manhattan Beach CA 90266		
Mailin	g Address	4	
310 5	645 4632 Se	e allache	d,
Telepi	hone	ee attache	rat
	cribed and sworn to before me,day of, 20	th Affiant	Statement
	for the County of		
	of		
Olalo		Notany	Dublio
*****	**************	Notary F *********	
showi Depai	Fee Schedule Sun  v are the fees typically associated with the correspond on this sheet may apply – refer to current City  rtment for assistance.) Fees are subject to annual ad  nitted Application (circle applicable fees, apply total)	onding applications. A Fee Resolution (conf justment.	tact the Planning
Coasi	tal Development Permit  Filing Fee (public hearing – no other discretionary a  Filing Fee (public hearing – other discretionary appr  Filing Fee (no public hearing required – administration  Permit	pproval required): ovals required):	\$ 4,615 🖾 1,660 🖾 920 🖾
Varia	Use Permit Filing Fee: Master Use Permit Filing Fee: Master Use Permit Amendment Filing Fee: Master Use Permit Conversion:		\$ 5,200 \(\omega\) 8,255 \(\omega\) 4,740 \(\omega\) 4,075 \(\omega\)
variai	Filing Fee:		\$ 5,160 🖾
Minor	Exception		e 4775
Subdi	Filing Fee (without notice): Filing Fee (with notice): ivision		\$ 1,775 2,020 <i>⊠</i>
	Certificate of Compliance: Final Parcel Map + mapping deposit: Final Tract Map + mapping deposit: Mapping Deposit (paid with Final Map application): Merger of Parcels or Lot Line Adjustment: Quimby (Parks & Recreation) fee (per unit/lot): Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) No Public Hentative Parcel Map (5 or more lots / units):		\$ 1,560 515 595 500 1,155 1,817 915 3,325 4,080
Enviro	onmental Review (contact Planning Division for applic Environmental Assessment (no Initial Study prepare Environmental Assessment (if Initial Study is prepar Fish and Game/CEQA Exemption County Clerk Pos	ed): red):	\$ 215 2,260 75
	Public Notification Fee applies to all projects with purcovers the city's costs of envelopes, postage and hamaling of public notices. Add this to filing fees about	andling the	\$ 85

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Make a separate \$75 check payable to LA County Clerk, (DO NOT PUT DATE ON CHECK)

## CALIFORNIA JURAT WITH AFFIANT STATEMENT

✓ See Attached Document (Notary to o See Statement Below (Lines 1-4 to b	cross out lines 1-5 below) e completed only by document signer[s], not Notary)
1	
2	. 60
3	
4	
5	
Signature of Document Signer Ño. 1	Signature of Document Signer No. 2
State of California	
County of Los Angeles	$A v M \Lambda$
	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this
	$30^{\text{th}}$ day of $90^{\text{th}}$ day of $90^{$
	(1) Nathaniel Evans Hubbard
	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me (.)
ANTHONY MICHAEL STEPHENSON Commission # 1994182	
Commission # 1994182  Notary Public - California  Los Angeles County	(and)
My Comm. Expires Oct 18, 2016	(2)
	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.
	AOLi
	Signature Signature of Notary Public
Place Notary Seal Above	Signature (Friedling) - College
	·
	OPTIONAL
Though the information below is not required by la fradulent removal and reattachment of this form to	aw, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent another document.
Further description of Any Attached Document	4 4 4 5
Title or Type of Document: City of Man	hattan Beach Mawter Application form
Document Date: May 30, 2014	hattan Beach Mauter Application Form  Number of Pages Z
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:	<u> </u>



### **MASTER APPLICATION FORM**

CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Office Use Only

Date Submitted: Received By:

F&G Check Submitted:

Shade Hotel, 1221 N Valley Drive, Manhattan Beach CA 90266

Project Address

Lot 2, Block 97, Manhattan Beach Division #2 Legal Description **Downtown Commercial** Downtown Commercial Area District III General Plan Designation Zoning Designation Area District For projects requiring a Coastal Development Permit, select one of the following determinations<sup>1</sup>: Project located in Appeal Jurisdiction Project not located in Appeal Jurisdiction Major Development (Public Hearing required) X Public Hearing Required (due to UP, Var., Minor Development (Public Hearing, if requested) etc.) No Public Hearing Required Submitted Application (check all that apply) (X) Appeal to PC/PPIC/BBA/CC ( ) Use Permit (Residential) ) Coastal Development Permit ( ) Use Permit (Commercial) ) Environmental Assessment ) Use Permit Amendment ) Minor Exception ) Variance ) Subdivision (Map Deposit)4300 ( ) Public Notification Fee / \$85 ) Subdivision (Tentative Map) ( ) Park/Rec Quimby Fee 4425 ) Subdivision (Final) ( ) Lot Merger/Adjustment/\$15 rec. fee ( ) Subdivision (Lot Line Adjustment) ( ) Other Fee Summary: Account No. 4225 (calculate fees on reverse) Pre-Application Conference: Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Fee: \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ (less Pre-Application Fee if submitted within past 3 months) Amount Due: \$ \_\_\_ Receipt Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Paid: \_\_\_\_\_ Cashier: \_ Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Information Donald McPherson Name 1014 1st St, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 Mailing Address Manhattan Beach resident, with residential business property in the Downtown area Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Relationship to Property 310 545 4632 natehubz@mac.com Nathaniel Hubbard Contact Person (include relation to applicant/appellant) Phone number / e-mail 1300 N Ardmore Ave, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 Address, 310 372 2774 Applicant(s)/Appellant(s) Signature Phone number Complete Project Description- including any demolition (attach additional pages if necessary) See attached letter for appeal description.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An Application for a Coastal Development Permit shall be made prior to, or concurrent with, an application for any other permit or approvals required for the project by the City of Manhattan Beach Municipal Code. (Continued on reverse)

### **OWNER'S AFFIDAVIT**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I/We Donald McPherson	being duly sworn,
depose and say that I am/we are the owner(s) of the property involved	in this application and that
the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the informare in all respects true and correct to the best of my/our knowledge and	mation herewith submitted
Signature of Property Owner(s) – (Not Owner in Escrow or Lessee)	28 May 2014
Donald McPherson	U
Print Name	
1014 1st St, Manhattan Beach CA 90266	
Mailing Address	
310 372 2774	
Telephone	
Subscribed and sworn to before me,	
this day of, 20	
in and for the County of	
State of see Attached	
- 300 //moderica	Notary Public
*****************************	*******
Fee Schedule Summary	
Below are the fees typically associated with the corresponding applic	ations Additional fees not
shown on this sheet may apply - refer to current City Fee Resolu	tion (contact the Planning
Department for assistance.) Fees are subject to annual adjustment.	
Submitted Application (circle applicable fees, apply total to Fee Su	mmary on application)
Coastal Development Permit	
Filing Fee (public hearing - no other discretionary approval requi	
Filing Fee (public hearing – other discretionary approvals require	
Filing Fee (no public hearing required – administrative):  Use Permit	920 🖾
Use Permit Filing Fee:	\$ 5,200 🖾
Master Use Permit Filing Fee:	8,255
Master Use Permit Amendment Filing Fee:	4,740 😂
Master Use Permit Conversion:	4,075
Variance Filing Fee:	¢ 5 160 ₽3
Minor Exception	\$ 5,160 ፟፟፟
Filing Fee (without notice):	\$ 1,775
Filing Fee (with notice):	2,020 🖾
Subdivision	A 4 500
Certificate of Compliance: Final Parcel Map + mapping deposit:	\$ 1,560 515
Final Tract Map + mapping deposit:	595
Mapping Deposit (paid with Final Map application):	500
Merger of Parcels or Lot Line Adjustment:	1,155
Quimby (Parks & Recreation) fee (per unit/lot):	1,817
Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) No Public Hearing: Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) Public Hearing:	915 3,325 🖾
Tentative Tract Map (4 or less lots / units):	4,080
, ,	.,,
Environmental Review (contact Planning Division for applicable fee)	ф 045
Environmental Assessment (no Initial Study prepared): Environmental Assessment (if Initial Study is prepared):	\$ 215 2,260
Fish and Game/CEQA Exemption County Clerk Posting Fee <sup>2</sup> :	2,260 75
Public Notification Fee applies to all projects with public hearings	and \$ 85
covers the city's costs of envelopes, postage and handling the mailing of public notices. Add this to filing fees above, as applications.	able:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Make a separate \$75 check payable to LA County Clerk, (<u>DO NOT PUT DATE ON CHECK)</u>

## CALIFORNIA JURAT WITH AFFIANT STATEMENT

See Attached Document (Notary to compared See Statement Below (Lines 1-4 to be	ross out lines 1-5 below) e completed only by document signer[s], <i>not</i> Notary)
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
Signature of Document Signer No. 1	Signature of Document Signer No. 2
State of California	
County of Los Angeles	An 11
	Subscribed and sworn to (er affirmed) before me on this
	30th day of May 2014, by
	(1) Dorald Mc Pherson
ANTHONY MICHAEL STEPHENSON Commission # 1994182	Name of Signer  proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me (.) (♠)
Notary Public - California Z Los Angeles County My Comm. Expires Oct 18, 2016	(an <b>ti)^</b>
	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.
	Signature Alleghenson
Place Notary Seal Above	Signature of Rocary ( doile
	OPTIONAL
Though the information below is not required by la fradulent removal and reattachment of this form to	w, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent another document.
Further description of Any Attached Document	
Title or Type of Document: City of Manho	Han Beach Master Apphation Form  Number of Pages
Document Date: May 30, 2014	Number of Pages
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:	

### **OWNER'S AFFIDAVIT**

STATE	OF	CAL	IFORI	NIA
COUNT	ΥO	FLC	S AN	GELES

I/We	Wayne Partridge	hein	g duly sw	/orn
depo	se and say that I am/we are the owner(s) of the property involved in thi	is applica	ation and	that
the f	pregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information	n herew	ith subm	itted
arein	all respects true and correct to the best of mytour knowledge and belief	(s).		
//		` ,		
Signs	tere of Property Owner(s) - (Not Owner in Escrow or Lessee)		***************************************	
Wavr	ne Partridge			
*************	Name	<del></del>		
***************************************	The Strand, Manhattan Beach CA 90266			
Mailir	g Address	سممم		
310 9	545 3265	SUZANI	IE EWE	V
Telep			¥190290	
	S Property of the second of th	Notary Put	lic-Californ	nia
	cribed and sworm b before me,	LOS ANGE	LES COUNT	Y
this_	day of (InO, 20)	Comm. Ex	p. SEPT 4,	2014
in an	d for the County of LOS Arrageles	~ ~ ~ ~ ~		
State	of <u>Cli</u>			
	Not	ary Public	,	
*****	*************************************	•		
	Can Cahadula Cumunam			
	Fee Schedule Summary			
Belov	v are the fees typically associated with the corresponding application	s. Additi	onal fees	not
show	n on this sheet may apply - refer to current City Fee Resolution (	contact	the Plan	ning
Depa	rtment for assistance.) Fees are subject to annual adjustment.			
Cuba	nitted Amplication (sivele amplicable force annulus to tall to Mario			
Cooc	nitted Application (circle applicable fees, apply total to Fee Summal tal Development Permit	<u>'y on ap</u>	plication	2
Coas	Filing Fee (public hearing – no other discretionary approval required):	¢	4 C 1 E   S	<b>~</b>
	Filing Fee (public hearing – other discretionary approval required):	\$	4,615	
	Filing Fee (no public hearing required – administrative):		1,660 E	
llse i	Permit		920 €	23
000 /	Use Permit Filing Fee:	\$	5.200 €	⋽
	Master Use Permit Filing Fee:	Ψ	8,255 E	
	Master Use Permit Amendment Filing Fee:		4,740 €	
	Master Use Permit Conversion:		4,075	
Varia			7,010	
	Filing Fee:	\$	5,160 ₺	3
Mino	r Exception	•	-,	_
	Filing Fee (without notice):	\$	1,775	
	Filing Fee (with notice):	. *	2,020	<b>≅</b>
Suba	livision		,	
	Certificate of Compliance:	\$	1,560	
	Final Parcel Map + mapping deposit:		515	
	Final Tract Map + mapping deposit:		595	
	Mapping Deposit (paid with Final Map application):		500	
	Merger of Parcels or Lot Line Adjustment:		1,155	
	Quimby (Parks & Recreation) fee (per unit/lot):		1,817	
	Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) No Public Hearing:		915	
	Tentative Parcel Map (4 or less lots / units) Public Hearing:		3,325 🛭	
	Tentative Tract Map (5 or more lots / units):		4,080 🖺	3
. سر	annual Davida ( at 100 h martin a martin a			
Envir	conmental Review (contact Planning Division for applicable fee)	_	<b>.</b> . –	
	Environmental Assessment (no Initial Study prepared):	\$	215	
	Environmental Assessment (if Initial Study is prepared):		2,260	
	Fish and Game/CEQA Exemption County Clerk Posting Fee <sup>2</sup> :		75	
De la	Public Notification For applies to all angles to with making			
	Public Notification Fee applies to all projects with public hearings and	\$	85	
	covers the city's costs of envelopes, postage and handling the			
	mailing of public notices. Add this to filing fees above, as applicable:			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Make a separate \$75 check payable to LA County Clerk, (<u>DO NOT PUT DATE ON CHECK)</u>

#### DESCRIPTION OF APPEAL: RESOLUTION NO. PC 14-07, SHADE HOTEL

9 June 2014

City Council
City of Manhattan Beach
1400 Highland Ave
Delivered in Person

Subject: Appeal of Resolution No. PC 14-07 [the "2014 CUP"], Shade Hotel, 1221 N Valley Dr Mayor Howorth and Council Members,

We submit for your consideration, our appeal of the subject resolution. We base our appeal on the deficiencies cited in Exhibit 1, attached to this letter. First, however, we address an item of utmost importance, namely, our negotiations with Mr. Zislis to identify effective noise mitigation measures, which the approval of the resolution regrettably terminated.

#### **Appeal Hearing Postponement to Enable Negotiations.**

For the negotiations to succeed, we request postponement of the appeal hearing, from the statutory date of July 1, to September 2. With the 900 Club appeal postponed to August 19, it appears the council cannot hear Shade until the first meeting in September.

We progressed quite far in negotiating mitigation measures with Mr. Zislis but needed more time to bring our cordial discussions to fruition. Unfortunately, as per below, staff preempted the negotiations, by letting the resolution go to vote at the May 28 hearing, despite our request to complete with Mr. Zislis the formulation of effective noise-mitigation measures.

Staff has erroneously applied a provision in the state building code, so that it rules out enclosure of the ground-level terrace by a door at the south side. Their misunderstanding of the code section precluded the commission from considering this crucial mitigation measure.

Planning commissions in both 2009-2010 and in 2014 have identified the open-air terrace as the major source of noise that disturbs residents.

The City's acoustic contractor has emphatically stated in their recent report and in testimony, that enclosure of the terrace by a door at the south entrance constitutes the only effective measure to mitigate noise. To that desirable goal, our parallel negotiations with Mr. Zislis showed considerable promise for implementing the terrace door.

At the May 28 hearing, the commissioners initially expressed support for the terrace south door. Unfortunately, in both the subject resolution and in testimony, staff maintained that the Fire Department requires the terrace south entrance to remain open, for emergency rescue from the three rooms with balconies overlooking the terrace.

As result, the commissioners withdrew their support for the terrace south door, thereby triggering this appeal.

The Fire Department has never publically opposed a code-compliant terrace door, nor did they in a November 2013 meeting with us.

Staff claims that the terrace must remain open for emergency access to the balconies of the three guest rooms overlooking the area, pursuant to state code. For Shade Hotel, the state building code requires each room to have access to two exits, pursuant to Title 24, Part 2,

#### **DESCRIPTION OF APPEAL: RESOLUTION NO. PC 14-07, SHADE HOTEL**

Section 1021 and Table 1021.1. Every room in the hotel has two independent paths that access two or more of the five exits on the ground floor.

To substantiate their claim, staff cites Section 1029 in the state code, which requires emergency access for certain residential uses, but specifically does not apply to Shade. Section 1029 on emergency access applies only to residential Group R-2 and Group R-3 occupancies, but not to Group R-1 occupancy for hotels with transient occupancy, such as Shade, the Belamar or Marriott. These hotels have Group R-1 occupancy, pursuant to state code Title 24, Part 2, Section 310.3.

Consequently, the city should postpone our appeal to September. Thereby, Mr. Zislis and we can propose effective noise mitigation measures, which must include the terrace door.

At the May 28 hearing, Mr. Zislis acknowledged the inevitability of the appeal, by testifying that he would work with the residents at the city council hearing, to approve items they want. Presumably, that corresponds to the noise mitigation measures that the planning commission and staff left out of Resolution No. PC 14-07.

#### **Grounds for Appeal.**

The attached Exhibit 1 cites our grounds for appeal. Since January 2014, we have submitted to the planning commission documented evidence in the record that substantiates each grounds.

Pursuant to Grounds 2 and 3, feasible mitigation measures exist to soundproof the outdoor south terrace and the Zinc nightclub, but according to Finding H in the 2014 CUP, the City has chosen not to require them.

Regarding Grounds 1, staff has misstated the intent of Section 1029 in the state code for emergency access to guest rooms overlooking the terrace, so that it precludes doors to close the south entrance. The City's acoustic expert deemed it necessary to close the terrace entrance with doors. This error by staff will nullify the 2014 CUP, pursuant to MBMC 10.104.030(D)(1), "That the permit was issued on the basis of erroneous or misleading information or misrepresentation."

In similar violation of the municipal code, as per Grounds 9, staff failed to provide evidence to the PC that we requested, regarding occupancy limits approved for the 2005 CUP. This transgression will also nullify the 2014 CUP, pursuant to MBMC 10.104.030(D)(1).

#### Conclusions.

We appreciate your consideration of both the appeal and the request to postpone the hearing to September, so that we can complete our negotiations with Mr. Zislis.

Nate Hubbard 1300 N Ardmore Ave Wayne Partridge 3520 The Strand

Don McPherson 1014 1<sup>st</sup> St

#### **DESCRIPTION OF APPEAL: RESOLUTION NO. PC 14-07, SHADE HOTEL**

#### **EXHIBIT 1. GROUNDS FOR APPEAL.**

- 1. **Misrepresentation of Fire Code.** Staff has misapplied Section 1029 in the state building code, as requiring that the terrace south entrance must remain open for emergency access to the three guest rooms therein. Their error will nullify the 2014 CUP, pursuant to MBMC 10.104.030(D)(1);
- 2. **Door for Terrace Enclosure.** The terrace must have a door for the south entrance, to meet the noise reduction required by the City's acoustic expert, but the resolution does not require it;
- 3. **Soundproofing Lobby Façade.** The inadequate physical mitigation for the lobby, as specified by the resolution, reduces noise by only an indiscernible amount;
- 4. **Increased SkyDeck Closing Time.** The resolution increases the rooftop Skydeck closing time to 10:30 PM, from 10:00 PM in the 2005 CUP, without any offsetting noise mitigation;
- 5. **Violation of Parking Requirement.** Compared to the 2005 CUP, the resolution expands the Zinc Lounge to incorporate the lobby area and adds special events to both the terrace and rooftop SkyDeck, thereby increasing parking requirements by 31 spaces, in violation of the parking ordinance, municipal code chapter MBMC 10.64;
- 6. Coastal Permit Amendment. For any change in intensification of use, the Local Coastal Program requires modification to the coastal development permit for Metlox, pursuant to LCP A.96.040 and A.96.030(L). Compared, to the 2005 CUP, the resolution increases intensity by: 1) 154 more occupants in the Zinc Lounge, the terrace and the rooftop Skydeck; 2) Extended Zinc Lounge hours from 11 PM to midnight daily, terrace hours from morning breakfast to 11 PM Fri-Sat, and Skydeck hours to 10:30 PM daily; 4) Dancing throughout the hotel, rather than on the designated 15'x20' area in the Zinc Lounge; and, 5) Operation of a full-scale restaurant, with outdoor menus;
- 7. **CEQA Initial Study.** The aforementioned intensifications granted by the resolution require an initial study for modification of the Metlox Environmental Impact Report [EIR], pursuant to CEQA, Public Resources Code §21080(c)(2);
- 8. **2005 CUP Violations.** For any violations of terms and conditions in the 2005 CUP, the municipal code section MBMC 10.84.090(D) requires modification of the use permit, pursuant to MBMC 10.104.030, Revocation and Modification. The resolution fails to make the finding that Shade violates the following conditions in the 2005 CUP: 1) An acoustic wall rated at Sound Transmission Coefficient STC-50 between the Zinc Lounge and the hotel lobby; 2) Closing hours for the terrace; 3) Occupancies of 77 for the Zinc Lounge, 22 for the terrace and 45 for the rooftop SkyDeck; 4) Special events on the terrace and SkyDeck; 5) No lunch service, no full-scale restaurant and no outdoor menus; and, 6) The noise ordinance, MBMC 5.48, per two citations from the MBPD; and,
- 9. Staff Failed to Provide Evidence to the PC Regarding 2005 CUP Occupancies. Staff failed to provide the PC with evidence regarding occupancy requirements approved for the 2005 CUP. On May 19, we submitted a demand letter requiring staff to provide specific excerpts from the August 2014 building permit and the Exhibit F plans from the May 2005 staff report, regarding occupancy limits. Staff failure will nullify the 2014 CUP, pursuant to MBMC 10.104.030(D)(1).